

I n t e r n a t i o n a l T e l e c o m m u n i c a t i o n U n i o n

ITU-T

TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

K.91

(05/2012)

SERIES K: PROTECTION AGAINST INTERFERENCE

**Guidance for assessment, evaluation and
monitoring of human exposure to radio
frequency electromagnetic fields**

Recommendation ITU-T K.91



Recommendation ITU-T K.91

Guidance for assessment, evaluation and monitoring of human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields

Summary

There are many possible methods of exposure assessment and each of them has its own advantages and disadvantages. Recommendation ITU-T K.91 gives guidance on how to assess and monitor human exposure to radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic fields (EMF) in areas with surrounding radiocommunication installations based on existing exposure and compliance standards in the frequency range of 9 kHz to 300 GHz. This includes procedures of evaluating exposure and how to show compliance with exposure limits with reference to existing standards. Recommendation ITU-T K.91 is oriented to the examination of the area accessible to people in the real environment of currently operated services with many different sources of RF EMF, but also gives references to standards and Recommendations related to EMF compliance of products.

This Recommendation includes an electronic attachment containing an uncertainty calculator and the Watt Guard modules.

History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group
1.0	ITU-T K.91	2012-05-29	5

FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/>.

© ITU 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

Table of Contents

	Page
1	Scope 1
2	References..... 1
3	Definitions 2
3.1	Terms defined elsewhere..... 2
3.2	Terms defined in this Recommendation..... 7
4	Abbreviations and acronyms 7
5	General guidance 8
5.1	General public and occupational exposure..... 10
5.2	Existing or planned transmitting station..... 10
5.3	Collection of data concerning the sources of radiation 10
5.4	One or more sources of radiation, total exposure..... 12
5.5	Field regions 13
5.6	Basic restrictions and reference levels 13
5.7	Exposure limits..... 13
5.8	Compliance assessment..... 14
5.9	Uncertainty evaluation..... 14
5.10	Exposure assessment in areas around hospitals, schools, etc..... 15
6	General characteristics of typical sources of the radiation 15
6.1	AM transmitting stations 16
6.2	SW transmitting station – main beam tilt 16
6.3	Fixed amateur stations..... 16
6.4	Fixed point-to-point systems 16
6.5	Handsets – isotropic sources 17
6.6	VHF and UHF broadcasting transmitting stations 21
6.7	2G and 3G mobile base stations 21
6.8	Smart (adaptive) antennas 22
6.9	Vehicle mounted antennas (such as police car)..... 22
7	Exposure assessment 22
7.1	Pre-analysis..... 22
7.2	Measurements..... 22
7.3	Calculations 29
7.4	Comparison between measurement and calculations..... 34
7.5	Monitoring RF EMF levels 36
8	Conclusions following the exposure assessment..... 36
9	Final report 36
10	Field levels around typical transmitting antennas 37
11	Conclusions 37

	Page
Appendix I – Exposure limits	38
I.1 Introduction	38
I.2 Exposure limits	38
I.3 ICNIRP exposure limits	38
I.4 Simultaneous exposure to multiple sources	40
I.5 IEEE International Committee Electromagnetic Safety (ICES) exposure limits	41
Appendix II – Time averaging	43
II.1 Analysis of time variations of measured electric fields from WCDMA mobile base stations	43
II.2 Analysis of time variations of measured electric fields from GSM mobile base stations	48
II.3 Results and discussion	49
II.4 Discussion about the number of sampling data and averaging time	51
Appendix III – Examples of RF EMF levels in areas accessible to the general public	53
Appendix IV – Software Watt Guard	57
Appendix V – Software "Uncertainty calculator"	58
V.1 Introduction	58
V.2 Brief descriptions of the software	58
V.3 Examples	60
Appendix VI – Examples for evaluating electromagnetic fields in general public environments with broadband radio signals	64
VI.1 Method for evaluating electromagnetic fields in general public environments with broadband signals	64
VI.2 Effect of RBWs on measured electromagnetic fields from mobile phone base stations	65
Appendix VII – Example of block diagram with possible activities during exposure assessment	68
Bibliography	69
Electronic attachment: Uncertainty calculator and Watt Guard	

Recommendation ITU-T K.91

Guidance for assessment, evaluation and monitoring of human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields

1 Scope

This Recommendation¹ gives guidance on how to assess and monitor human exposure to radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic fields (EMF) in areas with surrounding radiocommunication installations based on existing exposure and compliance standards in the frequency range of 9 kHz to 300 GHz. This Recommendation presents and references in clear and simple ways, procedures of evaluating exposure and how to show compliance with exposure limits. Existing standards are product or service oriented. This Recommendation is oriented to the examination of the area accessible to people in the real environment of currently operated services with many different sources of RF EMF, but also gives references to standards and Recommendations related to EMF compliance of products.

2 References

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.

- [ITU-T K.52] Recommendation ITU-T K.52 (2004), *Guidance on complying with limits for human exposure to electromagnetic fields.*
- [ITU-T K.61] Recommendation ITU-T K.61 (2003), *Guidance to measurement and numerical prediction of electromagnetic fields for compliance with human exposure limits for telecommunication installations.*
- [ITU-T K.70] Recommendation ITU-T K.70 (2007), *Mitigation techniques to limit human exposure to EMFs in the vicinity of radiocommunication stations.*
- [ITU-T K.83] Recommendation ITU-T K.83 (2010), *Monitoring of electromagnetic field levels.*
- [ITU-R BS.1195] Recommendation ITU-R BS.1195 (1995), *Transmitting antenna characteristics at VHF and UHF.*
- [ITU-R BS.1698] Recommendation ITU-R BS.1698 (2004), *Evaluating fields from terrestrial broadcasting transmitting systems operating in any frequency band for assessing exposure to non-ionizing radiation.*
- [ICNIRP] ICNIRP (1998), *ICNIRP Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Fields (up to 300 GHz)*, Health Physics, Vol. 74, No. 4; pp. 494-522.
<http://www.icnirp.de/documents/emfgdl.pdf>

¹ This Recommendation contains an electronic attachment containing an uncertainty calculator and the Watt Guard applications.

- [IEC 62209-1] IEC 62209-1 (2005), *Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)*.
http://webstore.iec.ch/webstore/webstore.nsf/ArtNum_PK/33746?OpenDocument
- [IEC 62209-2] IEC 62209-2 (2010), *Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)*.
http://webstore.iec.ch/webstore/webstore.nsf/ArtNum_PK/43905?OpenDocument
- [IEC 62232] IEC 62232 (2011), *Determination of RF field strength and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure*.
http://webstore.iec.ch/webstore/webstore.nsf/ArtNum_PK/45152?OpenDocument
- [IEEE C95.1] IEEE C95.1-2005, *Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz*.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1626482&contentType=Standards&refinements%3D4294965216%2C4293621946%26queryText%3DIEEE+C95.1>
- [IEEE C95.7] IEEE C95.7-2005, *Recommended Practice for Radio Frequency Safety Programs, 3 kHz to 300 GHz*.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1611107&contentType=Standards&queryText%3DRecommended+Practice+for+Radio+Frequency+Safety+Programs%2C+3+kHz+to+300+GHz>
- [ISO/IEC] ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*.
http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=50461
- [ISO/IEC 17025] ISO/IEC 17025:2005, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*.
http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=39883

3 Definitions

3.1 Terms defined elsewhere

This Recommendation uses the following terms defined elsewhere:

3.1.1 antenna [ITU-T K.70]: Device that serves as a transducer between a guided wave (e.g., coaxial cable) and a free space wave, or vice versa. It can be used to emit or receive a radio signal. In this Recommendation the term antenna is used only for emitting antenna(s).

3.1.2 antenna gain [ITU-T K.70]: The antenna gain $G(\theta, \phi)$ is the ratio of power radiated per unit solid angle multiplied by 4π to the total input power. The gain is frequently expressed in decibels with respect to an isotropic antenna (dBi). The formula defining the gain is:

$$G_i(\theta, \phi) = \eta \frac{4\pi}{P_{in}} \frac{dP_r}{d\Omega}$$

where:

θ, ϕ are the angles in a polar coordinate system

η is the antenna efficiency due to dissipative losses

P_r is the radiated power in the (θ, ϕ) direction

P_{in} is the total input power

$d\Omega$ an elementary solid angle in the direction of observation.

NOTE – In manufacturers' catalogues the antenna gain is understood as a maximum value of the antenna gain. Gain does not include losses arising from impedance and polarization mismatches. If an antenna is without dissipative loss, then its gain is equal to its directivity $D(\theta, \phi)$.

3.1.3 average (temporal) power (P_{avg}) [ITU-T K.52]: The time-averaged rate of energy transfer defined by:

$$P_{avg} = \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} P(t) dt$$

where:

$P(t)$ is the instantaneous power,

t_1 and t_2 are the start and stop time of the exposure.

3.1.4 averaging time (T_{avg}) [ITU-T K.52]: The averaging time is the appropriate time period over which exposure is averaged for purposes of determining compliance with the limits.

3.1.5 basic restrictions [ITU-T K.70]: Restrictions on exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields that are based directly on established health effects. Depending upon the frequency of the field, the physical quantities used to specify these restrictions are: current density (J), specific absorption rate (SAR) and power density (S).

3.1.6 body mounted device – body worn device [IEC 62209-2]: A portable device containing a wireless transmitter or transceiver which may be located close to a person's torso except the head during its intended use or operation of its radio functions (e.g., on a belt clip, holster, pouch, or on a lanyard when worn as necklace).

3.1.7 compliance distance [ITU-T K.70]: Minimum distance from the antenna to the point of investigation where the field level is deemed to be compliant with the limits.

3.1.8 contact current [ITU-T K.52]: Contact current is the current flowing into the body by touching a conductive object in an electromagnetic field.

3.1.9 controlled/occupational exposure [ITU-T K.70]: Controlled/occupational exposure applies to situations where the persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Controlled/occupational exposure also applies to the cases where the exposure is of transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where the exposure limits may be above the general population/uncontrolled environment limits, as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

3.1.10 continuous exposure [ITU-T K.52]: Continuous exposure is defined as exposure for duration exceeding the corresponding averaging time. Exposure for less than the averaging time is called short-term exposure.

3.1.11 directivity [ITU-T K.70]: Is the ratio of the power radiated per unit solid angle over the average power radiated per unit solid angle.

3.1.12 equivalent isotropically radiated power (eirp) [ITU-T K.70]: The EIRP is the product of the power supplied to the antenna and the maximum antenna gain relative to an isotropic antenna.

3.1.13 equivalent radiated power (ERP) [ITU-T K.70]: The ERP is the product of the power supplied to the antenna and the maximum antenna gain relative to a half-wave dipole antenna.

3.1.14 exposure [ITU-T K.52]: Exposure occurs wherever a person is subjected to electric, magnetic or electromagnetic fields or to contact currents other than those originating from physiological processes in the body or other natural phenomena.

3.1.15 exposure level [ITU-T K.52]: Exposure level is the value of the quantity used when a person is exposed to electromagnetic fields or contact currents.

3.1.16 exposure limits [ITU-T K.70]: Values of the basic restrictions or reference levels acknowledged, according to obligatory regulations, as the limits for the permissible maximum level of the human exposure to the electromagnetic fields.

3.1.17 exposure, non-uniform/partial body [ITU-T K.52]: Non-uniform or partial-body exposure levels result when fields are non-uniform over volumes comparable to the whole human body. This may occur due to highly directional sources, standing waves, scattered radiation or in the near field.

3.1.18 far-field region [ITU-T K.52]: That region of the field of an antenna where the angular field distribution is essentially independent of the distance from the antenna. In the far-field region, the field has predominantly plane-wave character, i.e., locally uniform distribution of electric field strength and magnetic field strength in planes transverse to the direction of propagation.

3.1.19 general population/uncontrolled exposure [ITU-T K.52]: General population/uncontrolled exposure applies to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure.

3.1.20 general public [ITU-T K.52]: All non-workers (see definition of workers in clause 3.1.43) are defined as the general public.

NOTE – General public exposure – RF exposure of persons who have not received any form of RF safety awareness information or training. Typically, general public exposure occurs in uncontrolled environments and includes individuals of all ages and varying health status, including children, pregnant women, individuals with impaired thermoregulatory systems, individuals equipped with electronic medical devices, and persons using medications that may result in poor thermoregulatory system performance [IEEE C95.7].

3.1.21 hand-held device (mobile handset) [IEC 62209-2]: A portable device containing a wireless transmitter or transceiver which may be located in a user's hand during its intended use or operation of its radio functions. A Hand-held device for this standard is a unit that is essentially not meant to be used close to head or body but it is held in hand. A typical example of a hand-held device is a PDA (personal digital assistant) with integrated RF module.

3.1.22 induced current [ITU-T K.52]: Induced current is the current induced inside the body as a result of direct exposure to electric, magnetic or electromagnetic fields.

3.1.23 intentional emitter [ITU-T K.52]: Intentional emitter is a device that intentionally generates and emits electromagnetic energy by radiation or by induction.

3.1.24 intentional radiation [ITU-T K.70]: Electromagnetic fields radiated through the transmitting antenna even in directions which are not needed (for example to the back of the parabolic microwave antenna).

3.1.25 near-field region [ITU-T K.52]: The near-field region exists in the proximity to an antenna or other radiating structure in which the electric and magnetic fields do not have a substantially plane-wave character but vary considerably from point to point. The near-field region is further subdivided into the reactive near-field region, which is closest to the radiating structure and that contains most or nearly all of the stored energy, and the radiating near-field region where the radiation field predominates over the reactive field, but lacks substantial plane-wave character and is complicated in structure.

NOTE – For many antennas, the outer boundary of the reactive near-field is taken to exist at a distance of one wavelength from the antenna surface.

3.1.26 point of investigation (POI) [b-EN 50400]: The location within the Domain of Investigation at which the value of E-field, H-field or power density is evaluated.

3.1.27 power density (S) [ITU-T K.52]: Power flux-density is the power per unit area normal to the direction of electromagnetic wave propagation, usually expressed in units of watts per square metre (W/m^2). In this Recommendation, this term is commonly used to refer to equivalent plane wave power density, see clause 3.1.32.

NOTE – For plane waves, power flux-density, electric field strength (E), and magnetic field strength (H) are related by the intrinsic impedance of free space, $Z_0 \approx 377$ or $120 \pi \Omega$. In particular,

$$S_{eq} = \frac{E^2}{Z_0} = Z_0 H^2 = EH$$

where E and H are expressed in units of V/m and A/m , respectively, and S in units of W/m^2 . Although many survey instruments indicate power density units, the actual quantities measured are E or H .

3.1.28 power density, average (temporal) [ITU-T K.52]: The average power density is equal to the instantaneous power density integrated over a source repetition period.

NOTE – This averaging is not to be confused with the measurement averaging time.

3.1.29 power density, peak [ITU-T K.52]: The peak power density is the maximum instantaneous power density occurring when power is transmitted.

3.1.30 power density, plane-wave equivalent (S_{eq}) [ITU-T K.52]: The equivalent plane-wave power density is a commonly used term associated with any electric or magnetic field, that is equal in magnitude to the power flux-density of a plane wave having the same electric (E) or magnetic (H) field strength.

3.1.31 radio frequency (RF) [ITU-T K.70]: Any frequency at which electromagnetic radiation is useful for telecommunication.

NOTE – In this Recommendation, radiofrequency refers to the frequency range of 9 kHz – 300 GHz allocated by ITU-R Radio Regulations.

3.1.32 reference levels [ITU-T K.70]: Reference levels are provided for the purpose of comparison with exposure quantities in air. The reference levels are expressed as electric field strength (E), magnetic field strength (H) and power density (S) values. In this Recommendation the reference levels are used for the exposure assessment.

3.1.33 relative field pattern (radiation pattern) [ITU-T K.70]: The relative field pattern $f(\theta, \phi)$ is defined in this Recommendation as the ratio of the absolute value of the field strength (arbitrarily taken to be the electric field) to the absolute value of the maximum field strength. It is also called antenna pattern. It is related to the relative numeric gain (see clause 3.1.34) as follows:

$$f(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{F(\theta, \phi)}$$

3.1.34 relative numeric gain (normalized antenna gain) [ITU-T K.70]: The relative numeric gain $F(\theta, \phi)$ is the ratio of the antenna gain at each angle to the maximum antenna gain. It is a value ranging from 0 to 1.

3.1.35 short-term exposure [ITU-T K.52]: The term short-term exposure refers to exposure for a duration less than the corresponding averaging time. Exposure for a duration exceeding the averaging time is called continuous exposure.

3.1.36 specific absorption (SA) [ITU-T K.52]: Specific absorption is the quotient of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ_m).

$$SA = \frac{dW}{dm} = \frac{1}{\rho_m} \frac{dW}{dV}$$

The specific absorption is expressed in units of joules per kilogram (J/kg).

3.1.37 specific absorption rate (SAR) [ITU-T K.52]: The time derivative of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given mass density (ρ_m).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{dW}{dm} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\rho_m} \frac{dW}{dV} \right) \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg).

SAR can be calculated by:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho_m}$$

$$SAR = c \frac{dT}{dt}$$

$$SAR = \frac{J^2}{\rho_m \sigma}$$

where:

E is the rms value of the electric field strength in body tissue in V/m

σ is the conductivity of body tissue in S/m

ρ_m is the density of body tissue in kg/m³

c is the heat capacity of body tissue in J/kg°C

$\frac{dT}{dt}$ is the initial time derivative of temperature (at $t=0$) in body tissue in °C/s

J is the value of the induced current density in the body tissue in A/m²

3.1.38 transmitter [ITU-T K.70]: Is an electronic device used to intentionally generate radio frequency electromagnetic energy for the purpose of communication (in contrast to the definition for intentional emitter in clause 3.1.23). The transmitter output is connected via a feeding line to the transmitting antenna which is the real source of the intentional electromagnetic radiation.

3.1.39 unintentional emitter [ITU-T K.52]: An unintentional emitter is a device that intentionally generates electromagnetic energy for use within the device, or that sends electromagnetic energy by conduction to other equipment, but which is not intended to emit or radiate electromagnetic energy by radiation or induction.

3.1.40 unintentional radiation [ITU-T K.70]: Electromagnetic fields radiated unintentionally, for example through the transmitter enclosure or feeding line.

3.1.41 wavelength (λ) [ITU-T K.52]: The wavelength of an electromagnetic wave is related to frequency (f) and propagation velocity (v) of an electromagnetic wave by the following expression:

$$\lambda = \frac{v}{f}$$

In free space the propagation velocity is equal to the speed of light (c) which is approximately 3×10^8 m/s. In body tissue the propagation velocity is reduced by the square root of the relative dielectric constant so that wavelength in tissue is typically 7 times shorter than in free space.

3.1.42 whole-body-exposure [IEEE C95.1]: The case in which the entire body is exposed to the incident fields.

3.1.43 workers [ITU-T K.70]: Any person employed by an employer, including trainees and apprentices but excluding domestic servants (see clause 3.1.9).

3.2 Terms defined in this Recommendation

This Recommendation defines the following term:

3.2.1 electromagnetic field (EMF): A field determined by a set of four interrelated vector quantities that characterizes, together with the electric current density and the volumic electric charge, the electric and magnetic conditions of a material medium or of a vacuum.

4 Abbreviations and acronyms

This Recommendation uses the following abbreviations and acronyms:

AM	Amplitude Modulation
APC	Automatic Power Control
BS	Base Station
CDMA	Code Division Multiple Access
EIRP	Equivalent Isotropically Radiated Power
EM	Electromagnetic
EMC	Electromagnetic Compatibility
EMF	Electromagnetic Field
ERP	Equivalent Radiated Power
FDTD	Finite Difference Time Domain
FM	Frequency Modulation
FPP	Fixed Point-to-Point
GSM	Global System for Mobile communications
HRP	Horizontal Radiation Pattern
ICNIRP	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection
IF	Intermediate Frequency
IMT-2000	International Mobile Telecommunication-2000
LBS	Location-Based Service
LOS	Line Of Sight
LTE	Long Term Evolution
MoM	Method of Moments
OFDM	Optical Frequency Domain Multiplexing
PC	Personal Computer
PCS	Personnel Communication System
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
POI	Point Of Investigation
RBW	Resolution BandWidth
RF	Radio Frequency

rms	Root Mean Square
RSS	Root Sum Square
SA	Specific Absorption
SAM	Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin
SAR	Specific Absorption Rate
S-DMB	Satellite-Digital Multimedia Broadcasting
TRS	Trucked Radio System
UHF	Ultra High Frequency
UMTS	Universal Mobile Telecommunication System
VHF	Very High Frequency
VRP	Vertical Radiation Pattern
WCDMA	Wideband Code Division Multiple Access
WiBro	Wireless Broadband Internet
WiFi	Wireless Fidelity
WiMAX	Worldwide interoperability for Microwave Access

5 General guidance

In this clause, a general description of the procedure for assessing exposure to electromagnetic fields is presented. The steps of this procedure will be described in more detail in the next part of this Recommendation. This procedure covers all possible steps but in real cases some steps will not be required. This procedure is applicable for the exposure assessment in the areas around radiocommunication installations, i.e., in the areas around transmitting stations and base stations (in this Recommendation the term transmitting station will be used). It does not cover exposure assessment for unintentional radiation from telecommunication equipment (for example, radiation through the enclosure of the transmitter) or exposure to non-telecommunication equipment such as industrial induction heating equipment or AC power systems.

It is recommended to first use the simplest method, even if other methods give higher accuracy. In many cases the exposure level is far below the acceptable limit, and more sophisticated methods (more difficult to apply) are not necessary. The choice between measurement and calculation and the choice between different methods of exposure assessment are discussed in this Recommendation.

In Figure 5.1 the basic concept of the compliance check of human exposure to RF EMF is presented.




Figure 5.1 – General description of the exposure assessment procedure

The following clauses present a description of the possible activities that may be used during exposure assessment (see Appendix VII), the main problems, the advantages and disadvantages, the characteristics of the radiating sources that are used in radiocommunication and exposure levels that may be expected in the areas around typical radiocommunication antennas.

In general, the exposure assessment is made by measurement or calculation. In some cases it is more efficient to assess exposure to some sources of radiation by measurement and for others by calculation (see [b-EN 50400]). Also, in some cases the exposure assessment for certain sources of radiation may be referenced against basic restrictions and for others against the reference level. In any case, all sources of radiation should be considered once and all of them should be combined into total exposure evaluation. However, exposure due to mobile devices should not be combined with exposure due to sources which are in a fixed location since there would not be any definite positional relationship between these two different types of sources and, more practically, the exposure levels from each different device type would often not be correlated in comparison with the basic restrictions during an assessment to determine compliance with the exposure limits.

5.1 General public and occupational exposure

In general the RF EMF exposure assessment of the general public and workers (occupational exposure) use the same methods. However, there are some specific features that distinguish the two categories. The most important are:

- exposure limits for the general public are more conservative because workers have better knowledge about possible hazards and location of places with the highest exposure levels and they are exposed during working hours only;
- workers are more likely to approach closer to the RF sources (transmitting antennas) so in more cases the area under examination is located in the reactive near-field region, which, as a consequence, requires more sophisticated methods for the exposure assessment by measurement or by calculation;
- workers in some cases are allowed to stay in areas with exposure levels above the limits but for limited time periods or by using mitigation measures like protective clothes.

5.2 Existing or planned transmitting station

If the area under consideration is covered by emissions from existing RF sources only, then the exposure assessment can be performed either by measurements or by calculations. If in the area under consideration some new RF EMF sources are planned (even if there exist other operating radio systems), the possibility to check compliance is through the exposure assessment by calculation or by a combination of measurement and calculation.

5.3 Collection of data concerning the sources of radiation

Collection of data concerning the RF sources is helpful in many respects. If exposure assessment by measurement is planned, it is in principle possible to do without these data, but measurements without data concerning the RF sources are more difficult and results of measurements are less reliable. Data collection is required for exposure assessment by calculation. Generally, more detailed descriptions of the RF sources are available – more exact results of evaluation can be obtained. This Recommendation considers intentional radiation from transmitting antennas. So the description of these antennas is most important. It should be noted that much of the detailed information may be commercially sensitive and may be difficult to collect.

5.3.1 Data required for the measurement

In general, measurements can be done without complete knowledge of the radiating sources if proper equipment that covers the full range of frequencies is available, knowing at least the range of frequencies to be measured. If measurements are made with wideband equipment (without frequency selection or shaped response), the results of such measurement will be conservative because it requires the use of the limit value, which is most restrictive. In all cases of measurements, the information concerning the radiating sources is very helpful and makes the measurements more accurate and reliable.

The following data are very helpful during measurements (for each radiating source):

- operating frequency – this allows use of a probe that has a band covering all operating frequencies;
- distance to the transmitting antenna – this allows one to determine the field region (for each operating frequency) and to choose a proper measurement procedure;
- maximum ERP – this allows estimation of the required dynamic range of the measurement equipment and the expected levels of the measured values;
- whether the antennas are operating at the maximum transmitter power at the time of the measurements;
- modulation characteristics – especially pulsed, intermittent or continuous operation.

Usually this information can be obtained from the documentation of the transmitting systems. Some data can be obtained during the site inspection (distances to the transmitting antennas, operating frequencies based on the types and sizes of the transmitting antennas, etc.).

5.3.2 Data required for the calculations

Exposure assessment by calculation in all cases requires information concerning radiating sources. In general, the more detailed the information, the more accurate are the results of calculations. These data are required for each operating (or planned) frequency. The required data put in order of growing level of accuracy of the exposure assessment by calculation are presented below.

The minimum data required for calculation, which leads to the most conservative approach, are:

- operating frequency;
- distance to the transmitting antenna;
- maximum EIRP.

In this case the point source model with isotropic antenna may be used for the exposure assessment. The next step is:

- radiation patterns of the transmitting antenna.

These additional data allow use of the point source model with radiation patterns taken into account.

Many transmitting antennas are built as systems containing many identical radiating elements (panels in broadcasting or patches in mobile communication). The additional data allowing for more accurate results are:

- geometry of the transmitting antenna (spatial position of each panel or patch);
- radiation pattern of the individual panel or patch;
- feeding arrangement (amplitude or power and phase of each panel or patch feeding).

These data allow use of the synthetic model for the exposure assessment.

The data that allow for the most accurate calculations additionally contain:

- exact location of each metallic and dielectric part of the transmitting antenna;
- information concerning each excitation in the antenna system;
- and/or exact location of the metallic and dielectric parts of the antenna tower and the supporting structure in the vicinity of the transmitting antenna.

These data allow for the calculation using full-wave methods such as method of moments (MoM) or finite difference time domain (FDTD).

More details may be found in [ITU-R BS.1698], [ITU-R BS.1195], [ITU-T K.70], [IEC 62232], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], and [b-EN 50492].

5.4 One or more sources of radiation, total exposure

The area under consideration may be affected by one operating source (rare case) or by many sources of radiation, which is a typical case at present. In the case of one source the exposure assessment is relatively simple and the measured or the calculated levels shall be compared with the appropriate exposure limits. If there is more than one source then the total exposure should be considered.

If the assessment is made by measurement, all the operating frequencies have to be covered by the measuring equipment. In the assessment by calculation, the exposure to each radiating source (operating frequency) has to be evaluated separately. In both cases the total exposure to all sources should be evaluated. However, exposure due to mobile devices should not be combined with exposure due to transmitters which are in a fixed location since there would not be any definite positional relationship between these two different types of sources. Thus, the total exposure from all sources in a single mobile device should be considered in a mobile device exposure assessment, and separately the total exposure from all sources in a multiple source environment should be considered in a fixed-source exposure assessment.

The exposure assessment in the multiple source environment, according to the existing standards, requires the evaluation of the total exposure (in some standards called also the total exposure ratio). All the operating frequencies must be considered in a weighted sum, where each individual source is pro-rated according to the limit applicable to its frequency. According to most standards, any sources with values less than 5% of the relevant limit do not need to be included, as the methodology is inherently conservative.

In general, the total exposure for the induced current density and electrical stimulation effects (relevant up to 10 MHz) and for the electric and magnetic field components for the thermal effect (relevant above 100 kHz) should be compared with the limits (see Appendix I).

Outside the reactive near-field region the compliance for the electric field components is sufficient. For the typical radiocommunication antennas exposure limits are more restrictive for the thermal effect than for electro-stimulation.

As a result, for the points of investigation located outside the near-field region and for the sources of radiation used by the radiocommunication systems, it is sufficient to check the compliance for the electric component and for the thermal effect only. In cases where the ICNIRP guidelines apply, the proper requirement for the total exposure ratio W_t is as follows:

$$W_t = \sum_{i=100\text{kHz}}^{1\text{MHz}} \left(\frac{E_i}{c} \right)^2 + \sum_{i>1\text{MHz}}^{300\text{GHz}} \left(\frac{E_i}{E_{Li}} \right)^2 \leq 1 \quad (5-1)$$

where:

E_i : is the electric field strength at frequency i

E_{Li} : is the reference limit at frequency i

c : is $610/f$ V/m (f in MHz) for occupational exposure and $87/f^{1/2}$ V/m for the general public.

In most cases (with no long wave (LW) and medium wave (MW) broadcasting – see Table 6.1) only the second component in this equation is needed.

More details may be found in [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [IEC 62232], [ITU-T K.70] and [ITU-T K.52].

5.5 Field regions

Borders of the field regions generally depend on the distance to, and design of, the transmitting antenna. In the reactive near-field region, located closest to the transmitting antenna, the electromagnetic field has a very complex structure and the calculations or measurements are most complex. Access to this area is mainly for the workers.

Usually, the general public has access to the areas located in the far-field region, or in some cases, in the radiating near-field region. In the far-field region, the electromagnetic field has a simple structure, similar to the plane-wave nature and the calculations or measurements are comparatively easy.

The distance to the beginning of the far-field region is the most important factor. The usually assumed value is $2D^2/\lambda$. It is rather a long distance and some points of investigation can be located at a shorter distance which implies the more complex calculations. According to [IEC 62232] Annex B for antennas with the maximum size $D > 2.5\lambda$, and radiating elements in a linear configuration (this is true for the typical base station panels and also for the faces of the broadcasting antennas) this distance is described by the formula:

$$d = \frac{2D^2}{\lambda} \sin^2 \beta - \frac{\lambda}{32} + \frac{D}{2} |\cos \beta| \quad (5-2)$$

where β is the angle between main axis of the antenna and line from the antenna centre to the POI. This equation gives a substantially smaller distance of the beginning of the far-field region for directions outside the main beam ($\beta=0$) of the antenna, which extends the area of applicability of the methods appropriate for the far-field region. In [IEC 62232] Annex B (as well as in [b-EN 50383] and [b-EN 50492]), there is also a simplified formula valid for the same types of antennas giving distance $d=0.6 D^2/\lambda$.

More details may be found in [IEC 62232], [b-EN 50492], [ITU-T K.61], [b-EN 50383] and [b-EN 50413].

5.6 Basic restrictions and reference levels

Basic restrictions on exposure to RF EMFs are quantities most closely related to established adverse biological effects. They include quantities to be determined in body tissue such as current density (J) or specific absorption rate (SAR) inside the body. In real cases it is difficult or even impossible to calculate or measure these values. Reference levels for human exposure to external electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields are derived from the basic restrictions using the realistic worst-case assumption about exposure. If the reference limits are met, then the basic restrictions will also be met; if reference levels are exceeded, that does not necessarily mean that the basic restrictions are exceeded. This approach means that the demand for the compliance with reference levels is conservative.

More details are given in [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62369-1], [IEC 62232] and [ITU-T K.52].

5.7 Exposure limits

Exposure limits are acknowledged, according to obligatory regulations, as the limits for the permissible maximum level of human exposure to electromagnetic fields. Exposure limits based on the established scientific data and currently available knowledge are given in internationally recognized documents: [ICNIRP] and [IEEE C95.1] (see Appendix I). In many cases, the exposure limits are also given by national authorities and sometimes they are prepared in different ways based on different assumptions. The national regulations are obligatory and they should be respected during exposure assessment. In most countries, the ICNIRP or IEEE exposure limits are

valid. In the countries which do not have their own regulations, the ICNIRP or IEEE (recommended by the World Health Organization, WHO) exposure limits are highly recommended.

Exposure limits in various countries may be found on the WHO website:

<http://www.who.int/docstore/peh-emf/EMFStandards/who-0102/Worldmap5.htm>

More details are given in Appendix I and may be found in [ICNIRP] and [IEEE C95.1].

5.8 Compliance assessment

If only one radiating source is considered, the results of calculation or measurement may be directly compared with the exposure limit for the compliance assessment.

In the case of simultaneous exposure to multiple sources, the total exposure ratio should be less than 1.0 to comply with the regulations. In two frequency ranges – below 10 MHz (for the induced current density and electrical stimulation effect) and above 100 kHz (in which the thermal effect is dominating) – the total exposures are calculated in a different way and have to be compared separately with each limit; and both values should be less than 1.0.

Usually the reference levels are used for the compliance assessment. It has been mentioned that if the reference levels are exceeded, this does not necessarily mean that the basic restrictions are violated. In this case the basic restrictions (which are less restrictive) should be evaluated and compared with the exposure limits. Many national regulations allow for the use of the reference levels only. Because of that, the exposure limits in these countries are more restrictive.

More details are given in [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [b-IEC 62369-1], [IEC 62232], [ITU-T K.52], [ITU-R BS.1698] and [b-IEC TR 62630].

5.9 Uncertainty evaluation

When performing the calculation or measurement, the uncertainty of the results has to be specified. The contributions of each component of uncertainty (the standard uncertainty $u(x_i)$) shall be registered with its name, the probability distribution, the sensitivity coefficient and the value). If any of the standard uncertainty components is not expressed in terms of the measured quantity then it should be converted using the appropriate sensitivity coefficient c_i and the equation $u_i(y) = c_i u(x_i)$. The combined uncertainty $u_c(y)$ shall then be evaluated by taking the square root of the sum of squares of the individual standard uncertainties:

$$u_c(y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m u_i^2(y)} \quad (5-3)$$

(see [ISO/IEC], [b-EN 50413], [b-EN 50383]). A total expanded measurement uncertainty with a 95% confidence level (coverage factor $k = 1.96$) less than or equal to 4 dB is deemed sufficient to show compliance.

It is also recommended that the expanded uncertainty taking into account measurement equipment uncertainty (calibration of probe/meter, probe isotropy, linearity, frequency response, thermal) and measurement methodology uncertainty (meter reading variability, location of field probe, body reflections) is less than or equal to 3 dB.

If the measurement uncertainty exceeds 4 dB, the limit values should be reduced by one half of the margin by which the uncertainty exceeds 4 dB so that the compliance is given by clause 7.1.2 of [ITU-T K.61]:

$$X_{meas} \leq X_{lim} - \frac{1}{2}(U - 4) \quad (5-4)$$

where:

- U is the measurement uncertainty
- X_{lim} is the limit value
- X_{meas} is the measured value

Examples of the uncertainty budget for measurement are presented in Annex C.2 of [b-EN 50413]. The software allowing calculation of the uncertainty is presented in Appendix V. It is also allowed to run examples C2.1 and C2.2 present in [b-EN 50413].

More information and examples of evaluation may be found in [ISO/IEC], [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [b-IEC 62369-1], [IEC 62232] and [ITU-T K.61].

5.10 Exposure assessment in areas around hospitals, schools, etc.

With respect to human exposure currently there is no technical requirements for any special consideration for locating base stations close to areas such as hospitals and schools due to the fact that existing exposure guidelines incorporate in the exposure limits safety margins that are applicable to all locations.

NOTE – Using the mobile telephone within areas of good reception also decreases exposure as it allows the telephone to transmit at reduced power [b-WHO 193].

6 General characteristics of typical sources of the radiation

Information concerning the mobile base stations, wireless access systems, radiocommunication systems, broadcasting transmitting stations (TV, FM, AM) is presented in Appendix II of [ITU-T K.70]. Important information for some specific systems is presented below, which may be useful for the exposure evaluation for those systems. In Table 6.1 the frequency range and wavelengths range of typical radiocommunication services are presented.

Table 6.1 – Frequency range and wavelength of typical radiocommunication services

Service	Frequency range (MHz)	Wavelength range (m)
LW broadcasting	0.1485-0.255	2018.8-1175.7
MW broadcasting	0.5265-1.6065	569.4-186.6
SW broadcasting	3.95-26.1	75.9-11.5
FM broadcasting	87.5-108	3.43-2.78
VHF	174-230	1.72-1.30
UHF	470-790 (860)	0.64-0.38 (0.35)
LTE800	790-860	0.38-0.35
GSM850	869-894	0.35-0.34
GSM900	935-960	0.32-0.31
GSM1800	1805-1880	0.17-0.16
GSM1900	1930-1990	0.16-0.15
UMTS	2110-2170	0.14-0.14
WiFi	2400-2483	0.12-0.12
LTE2600	2620-2690	0.11-0.11
WiMAX	3400-3600	0.09-0.08

6.1 AM transmitting stations

AM broadcasting uses very long electromagnetic waves see, Table 6.1.

The electromagnetic field has a very complex structure in close proximity to the transmitting antenna – in the reactive near-field whose outer border is equal to one wavelength. From Table 6.1 it can be seen that the reactive near-field is largest (in the descending order) in long wave (LW), medium wave (MW) and short wave (SW) transmitting antennas. In these cases the areas accessible to people (including the general public) are frequently located in the reactive near-field. Since the magnetic component is not proportional to the electric component in this region, both the electric and the magnetic component should be measured. For computation in this case the method of moments (MoM) is especially efficient.

More detailed information concerning the measurement and the calculation of RF EMF around LW, MW and SW antennas can be found in [ITU-R BS.1698].

6.2 SW transmitting station – main beam tilt

Short wave (SW) transmitting stations also have their own specificity that is the shape of the vertical radiation pattern (VRP). The typical transmitting antenna in the broadcasting or mobile base stations has VRP with the main beam tilted to the ground direction. This beam tilt is rather small for the broadcasting antennas (usually from 0° to 1°) and bigger for the mobile base station antennas (usually from 0° to 15°). On the contrary, the SW transmitting antennas usually have VRP pointed upwards (from -7° to -40°) in order to reach the ionosphere. In this case the radiation levels are highest at these high elevation angles.

More detailed information concerning the measurement and the calculation of EMF around SW antennas can be found in [ITU-R BS.1698].

6.3 Fixed amateur stations

Measuring the electromagnetic fields of fixed amateur stations is generally a very complex task. In the case of amateur transmitting equipment it is particularly important that the propagation conditions of the electromagnetic field in the transmitting antenna's near field are taken into account as such equipment may use large wavelengths and may operate in densely built-up housing areas. Such a complex environment in the near-field region may require time-consuming and expensive near-field measurement.

The calculation tool "Watt Guard" enables a simple and fast assessment of fixed amateur stations. The software module runs on a wide variety of operating systems and is published together with the present Recommendation. More information may be found in Appendix IV.

6.4 Fixed point-to-point systems

As opposed to other antennas, microwave radio relay antennas have a main beam with very narrow radiation pattern and very low level side lobes in all other directions. Because of this, the RF EMF levels are higher in the region close to the main beam direction and decrease quickly outside of this direction. Thus, these antennas may be considered as radiating in the point-to-point direction only. Additionally, if there is any large obstacle in the propagation paths between a pair of radio relay antennas then the communication link is broken and this is acted on promptly. Thus, the probability that any object is present on the propagation path, including people, is very low.

RF EMF exposure has to be assessed only in close proximity to such antennas. The evaluation of the total exposure, which has to be performed in the environment where many transmitting antennas are operating simultaneously, may omit the radiation from the radio relay antennas, except in close vicinity to them.

More detailed information concerning the measurement and the calculation of RF EMF around radio relay antennas may be found in [ITU-R BS.1698].

6.5 Handsets – isotropic sources

Mobile handsets, two-way radios, palmtops, laptops, desktop computers and body-mounted wireless devices have usually an almost isotropic radiation pattern. This means that in the very conservative approach the isotropic radiation pattern and point source model can be used; in many cases, however, this may lead to a substantial overestimation of exposure. More accurate results require SAR measurement or calculations. For calculation, FDTD is the method of choice because it allows for a very good modelling of the handset and the human body that is close to the handset.


6.5.1 Compliance of mobile phones, cordless phones and headsets

This clause concerns the compliance assessment of RF EMF transmitting devices intended to be used with the radiating part of the device in close proximity to the human head and positioned against the ear, such as mobile phones, cordless phones, and handsets operating in the frequency range between 300 MHz to 3 GHz. The metrics used to quantify the RF EMF exposure is specific absorption rate (SAR). The measurement of SAR requires specific instrumentation as well as highly advanced techniques and requirements. This is why in line with the agreement between ITU-T and IEC the user of this Recommendation shall refer to the latest version of the [IEC 62209-1] measurement standard in order to assess the SAR compliance with the exposure limits. The compliance assessment shall be achieved by applying measurement requirements and measurement procedures as described in the standard. The objective of [IEC 62209-1] is to specify the measurement method for demonstration of compliance with the SAR for the above mentioned devices. In order to give some general idea about the content of the aforementioned standard, a very brief description is provided below.

[IEC 62209-1] has two normative references [ISO/IEC] and [ISO/IEC 17025]. It starts with explaining terms and definitions. Technical information related to general requirements such as the phantom shell, tissue simulating liquid, specifications of the SAR measurement equipment, scanning system specifications and device holder specifications are explained in the chapter "Measurement system specifications". The measurement-related requirements and information are explained in the chapter titled "Protocol for SAR assessment" where the measurement procedure is explained. The block diagram in Figure 6.1, taken directly from the [IEC 62209-1], explains the procedures which shall be performed for each of the test conditions. The head phantom used in the measurements is the specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM), which is described in Annex A of [IEC 62209-1]. As an example case the phantom and handset device is shown in Figure 6.2 and a head SAR measurement system is shown in Figure 6.3.

One of the main issues in measurements is the uncertainty evaluation. A long chapter in [IEC 62209-1] is devoted to uncertainty estimation where all components contributing to the uncertainty are defined and calculated. There are templates for the uncertainty evaluation for the handset SAR test, measurement uncertainty evaluation for system validation and measurement repeatability evaluation for system check. The main text of the standard ends with a chapter describing the measurement report.

In certain cases, local or national regulatory agencies or standards bodies may recommend national or regional measurement practices based on [IEC 62209-1].



IEC 62209-1 by courtesy of IEC.

Figure 6.1 – Block diagram of the tests to be performed for the assessment of SAR of a wireless handset




Figure 6.2 – Cheek position (above) and tilt position (below) of the wireless handset on the left side of SAM phantom



Figure 6.3 – A head SAR measurement system where phantom, robot with calibrated probe, and a post-processing unit is shown

All mobile handsets on the market have to comply with regulations concerning the limits of human exposure to electromagnetic fields. The safety factors are taken into account for the worst-case scenarios and required margins for human exposure limits.

6.5.2 Compliance of wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body

This clause considers the compliance assessment of RF EMF transmitting devices intended to be used with the radiating part of the device in close proximity to the human body (excluding holding the device at the ear in the frequency range between 300 MHz to 6 GHz). The user of this Recommendation shall refer to the latest version of [IEC 62209-2] measurement standard in order to assess the SAR compliance with exposure limits. The compliance assessment shall be achieved by applying measurement requirements and measurement procedures as described in the standard. The objective of [IEC 62209-2] is to specify the measurement method for demonstration of compliance with the SAR for the above mentioned device types. [IEC 62209-2] is applicable to wireless communication devices capable of transmitting RF EMF intended to be used at a position near the human body, in the manner described by the manufacturer, with the radiating part(s) of the device at distances up to and including 200 mm from a human body, i.e., when held in the hand or in front of the face, mounted on the body, combined with other transmitting or non-transmitting devices or accessories (e.g., belt-clip, camera or Bluetooth add-on), or embedded in garments. This standard may also be used to measure simultaneous exposures from multiple radio sources (within the same product/device) used in close proximity to the human body. Definitions and evaluation procedures are provided for the following general categories of device types: body-mounted, body-supported, desktop, front-of-face, hand-held, laptop, limb-mounted, multi-band, push-to-talk, clothing-integrated. The types of devices considered include, but are not limited to, mobile telephones, cordless microphones, two-way radios, auxiliary broadcast devices and radio transmitters in personal computers. This international standard gives guidelines for a reproducible and conservative measurement methodology for determining the compliance of wireless devices with the SAR limits. This standard also does not apply for exposures from transmitting or non-transmitting implanted medical devices. This standard does not apply for exposure from devices at distances greater than 200 mm away from the human body. [IEC 62209-2] makes cross-reference to [IEC 62209-1] where complete clauses or subclasses apply, along with any changes specified.

The structure of [IEC 62209-2] is almost the same as the structure of [IEC 62209-1]. The main difference between the two standards is the phantom shape for body SAR and head SAR assessment, which is a flat phantom (as shown in Figure 6.4) rather than the SAM phantom. Most of the text in the two standards, such as tissue simulating liquid and uncertainty evaluation, is essentially the same.




Figure 6.4 – Flat phantom for SAR measurement of wireless devices used in close proximity of the torso

6.5.3 Compliance of the mobile handsets with hands-free kit

All mobile handsets with or without hands-free accessories must comply with exposure limits in all modes of operation.

NOTE – [b-WHO 193] indicates that a person keeping the mobile phone 30-40 cm away from the body will have a much lower exposure to radiofrequency fields than someone holding the handset against their head. This can be achieved by using the phone in loudspeaker mode or by using a "hands free" device such as wireless [b-IEEE 802.15.1] or wired (as separate accessory or built-in) headsets.

6.6 VHF and UHF broadcasting transmitting stations

The antennas for these systems are usually built as a set of panels (up to 64) consisting of dipoles and screens and mounted on the antenna tower. More detailed information is presented in [ITU-R BS.1195]. Consideration of real cases shows that FM high power transmitting antennas generally contribute the most to the exposure levels. In most cases the synthetic model (see clause 7.3.1.2) is proper for the exposure assessment by calculations. In many cases of exposure assessment by measurements, frequency selective measurements and post-processing are required.

6.7 2G and 3G mobile base stations

The transmitting antenna of a mobile base station is typically a sector antenna in which one panel is serving a 120° sector. In many cases the points of investigation are located in the far field region and the point source model (see clause 7.3.1.1) is sufficient for the exposure assessment by calculation. Broadband measurement is also sufficient in most cases, especially when close to the antennas.

6.8 Smart (adaptive) antennas

Smart antennas produce a number of narrow beams directed to individual users to reduce interference and optimize communication. Smart antennas are controlled in such a way as to optimize radiation patterns in time depending on the distribution of the users in the served area. Because the maximum exposure level caused by the smart antennas is usually low, the point source model with an isotropic radiation pattern can be considered as the most conservative approach (see Equation B.2 in [ITU-T K.70] or clause N.7 of [IEC 62232]). If more exact (less conservative) assessment is required then the procedure described in the [IEC 62232] Annex N.7 is recommended.

6.9 Vehicle mounted antennas (such as police car)

The source of intentional RF EMF is the antenna usually located on the body of the vehicle. It is a vertical dipole(s)/monopole so it has an omnidirectional horizontal radiation pattern. Vertical radiation pattern strongly depends on the antenna size, configuration and location. Exposure assessment should be conducted inside and outside of the vehicle. Assessment inside the car should take into account the screening effect of the body of the vehicle. The assessment method may be measurement or calculation.

7 Exposure assessment

In general, the exposure assessment can be done by measurement or calculation. Both methods give similar levels of accuracy and have advantages and disadvantages.

7.1 Pre-analysis

The goal of the pre-analysis is to choose the best and most convenient method for proper exposure assessment. The pre-analysis may contain:

- a collection of the data concerning radiating sources in the area under consideration;
- an evaluation of the field regions for each radiating source.

Based on the national, accessible data and resources it may include:

- a decision if measurements or calculations will be used;
- an estimation of the expected field levels (by calculation and using the most simple formulas);
- an evaluation of the directions and points with the expected highest levels of exposure.

Detailed pre-analysis makes exposure assessment easier and more accurate. It also saves time and costs.

7.2 Measurements

It is recommended to use first the simplest method (i.e., broadband RF EMF measurement). If the measured exposure level is not in compliance with the reference level then the frequency selective RF EMF measurement should be used to get more accurate results. If there is still no compliance with the exposure limit then the most sophisticated method based on the SAR measurement against basic restrictions may be used.

According to [ICNIRP] and IEEE guidance, the measuring equipment should respond to, and indicate the rms value; however national regulations may request other values.

When using a spectrum analyser to measure the RF field power density, the resolution bandwidth (RBW) has quite a large effect on the measurement results, particularly for broadband signals such as WCDMA and OFDM. Therefore, it should be carefully selected to sufficiently cover the

occupied bandwidth of the target radio source for an accurate field power density measurement (see Appendix VII).

In the reactive near-field region the measurements are complex. It is required to make the measurement of both the electric and the magnetic components of the electromagnetic field. All the three orthogonal components should be measured as well. However, this region is very close to the transmitting antenna and such measurements are only required in special cases. In practice these cases are for occupational exposure only.

In the far-field region, and in part of the radiating near-field region, the measurements are not so complex. Measurements only of the orthogonal electric field components of the electromagnetic field are sufficient in this region.

Both broadband and narrowband measurements are possible in this case.

More details may be found in [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-EN 50492], [b-IEC 62311], [b-IEC 62369-1], [IEC 62232], [ITU-T K.61] and [ITU-R BS.1698].

7.2.1 Selection of the points of investigation

When evaluating the RF EMF exposure in the neighbourhood of any radiocommunication station, the points where the measurements will be performed must be carefully selected. Ideally, the effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP), the antenna height, the azimuth, the downtilt and the radiation pattern should be known to perform a cautious selection of the points of investigation. However, mainly for broadcast antennas, the knowledge of the exact radiation pattern is not easily available. These antennas may be designed for specific scenarios and may contain sets of elements (e.g., panels) with individually designed feeding arrangements.

If the available data are not sufficient, the selection of the POI may be done by careful visual inspection and some calculations taking notes of nearby buildings, and considering their heights and distances to the source.

A single dipole antenna approach (see Equation 7-1) can be considered as a worst-case scenario for almost all situations. This means that if one does not have enough information about the antenna radiation pattern or does not have software tools (or enough time) to perform the estimation of the RF EMF exposure in the region of interest, then it is possible to consider a worst-case scenario. If the RF EMF exposure is compliant in this scenario, it will be in the real conditions as well. The power density, taking into account the reflection coefficient equal to 1 ($\rho=1$), is given by Equation 7-2.

$$F(\theta, \phi) \approx \cos^2 \theta \quad (7-1)$$

$$S(R, \theta, \phi) = (1 + \rho)^2 \frac{EIRP}{4\pi R^2} F(\theta, \phi) = \frac{EIRP}{\pi R^2} \cos^2 \theta \quad (7-2)$$

The envelope curves for the frequency ranges of 10-400 MHz and 400-2000 MHz can be traced using Equations 7-3 and 7-4, respectively. These equations are valid for the following conditions: general public exposure equals the frequency range limit ($S = 2 \text{ W/m}^2$ for 10-400 MHz and $S = f / 200 \text{ W/m}^2$ for 400-2000 MHz) and the reflection coefficient equals 1 ($\rho=1$).

$$h = H - \sqrt{-x^2 + x \sqrt{\frac{EIRP}{2\pi}}} ; \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{EIRP}{2\pi}} \quad (7-3)$$

$$h = H - \sqrt{-x^2 + x \sqrt{\frac{200 \times EIRP}{f \times \pi}}} ; \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{200 \times EIRP}{f \times \pi}} \quad (7-4)$$

where:

h : is the envelope curve height, in metres (m);


H : is the antenna height, in metres (m);

X : is the distance from the base of the antenna to the base of the building, in metres (m);

f : is the frequency, in MHz;

$EIRP$: is the equivalent isotropically radiated power in the direction of the largest antenna gain, in watts (W).

In Figure 7.1 a typical scenario of an FM broadcasting station is shown, where technician staff would choose the adjacent building to measure the RF EMF exposure. However, the farther building top may be exposed to higher E-field (or H-field) and should be chosen for the RF EMF exposure assessment. It can be guaranteed that the real E-field on the top of the two closer buildings is compliant. The same is not guaranteed for the farther building, as long as the real radiating pattern is unknown.



NOTE – The farther building is the place with potentially higher exposure levels.

Figure 7.1 – A big city with an FM broadcasting station located downtown

7.2.2 Spatial averaging

Multi-path reflections can create non-uniform field distributions. Therefore, in some regulations to assess the whole body human exposure, an averaging process is required. The field values are then determined at N points (3, 6, 9, 20 or other) as described in [IEC 62232], [b-EN 50492] and [ITU-T K.61]. Three points, at the heights of 1.1 m 1.5 m and 1.7 m above the terrain level are basically recommended, but if higher accuracy is required the number can be increased in accordance with national or regional standards and regulations (see [ITU-T K.61]).

7.2.3 Time averaging

Signals used in radiocommunication exhibit a variation with time due to the traffic or modulation (mobile communication systems) or due to the picture content (analogue TV systems) or non-continuous nature of the signal (radars) and for many other reasons (for example, maintenance time, etc.). On the other hand some signals have very small time variation (e.g., FM signal).

[ICNIRP] recommends the use of the 6-minute averaging time for frequencies between 100 kHz and 10 GHz, or 68/f 1.05 minutes for frequencies above 10 GHz for power density evaluation. This reference (6-min averaging time) in [ICNIRP] is expanded for the RF EMF measurements, however the averaging time is based on the heating phenomena (the SAR measurement), not by the time variation of the radiocommunication signals.

In the case of the frequency selective measurement, when spatial averaging is applied and there are many radiating sources, measurement procedures at each POI may take a long time due to the 6-minute averaging time.

A comparison of the measurement results for 6 min averaging time and 1 min averaging time shows that the difference in results is on the level lower than 1 dB. Figure 7.2a shows the results of the RF EMF measurement for seven mobile base stations and for the averaging time of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 120, 180 and 360 seconds. In Figure 7.2b a standard deviation is shown for these measurements. It can be seen that the difference between results of measurement using different averaging times is less than 0.4 dB and is small in comparison with the day-long variation (about 3 dB) and week-long variation (up to 10 dB).




Figure 7.2a – Averaged value




Figure 7.2b – Standard deviation

For the RF EMF measurement in the case of typical radiocommunication systems such as CDMA, it looks permissible to make measurements with a 1-minute averaging time if the total exposure is far (3 dB) below the exposure limit. In addition, shorter averaging time is also available if a larger uncertainty is allowed or if a conservatively large margin to the exposure limit due to the averaging is introduced. In such a case, sufficient rationale for the selection of the value should be provided. If the total exposure is closer to the exposure limit then the 6-minute averaging time should be used. This simplification is not valid for signals of a non-continuous nature (radars).

[IEC 62232] recommends time averaging according to the relevant exposure limits at the time of day that would ensure the highest average RF field strength conditions (i.e., under maximum traffic conditions). A long-term measurement (over a day or a week if possible) may be useful to determine when the highest average RF field strength occurs – if this information is unknown for appropriate time averaging to determine compliance with the relevant exposure limits.

The number of sampling data that is taken in the averaging procedure is also important. It has as much effect on the averaged results as averaging time. In Appendix II this effect is described.

7.2.4 Broadband measurement

Broadband measurement of the RF EMF in the far field region is the simplest measurement method for compliance assessment. In this method the sum of the RF EMF contributions (electric or magnetic field depending on probe type) from all the radio sources within a wide frequency range is measured. Problems may occur if the radio sources operating outside frequency range of the probe are present. On the market there are electric field probes available that cover these frequency bands, for example, from 100 kHz to 6 GHz or 3 MHz to 18 GHz. These frequency bands cover the operating frequencies of almost all most widely used radiocommunication services. Outside the band of the first probe are many fixed point-to-point (FPP) systems (for example, 11 GHz, 13 GHz, 23 GHz, etc.). However, FPP systems are radiating with very narrow beams, thus they usually can be neglected in the exposure assessment as systems giving very small contributions in the areas accessible to people. Outside the band of the second probe are the AM broadcasting systems (LW, MW and SW) and some fixed point-to-point (FPP) systems (for example, 23 GHz band).

For broadband measurement, the exposure ratio corresponding to the most restrictive reference level at the considered frequency range has to be taken, unless the measurement device incorporates a weighting function consistent with the exposure limits. This means that the broadband measurement usually gives conservative results.

The broadband measurements are simpler and less time consuming than the frequency selective ones but tend to be less sensitive for measurement of low-level exposures. Even though they are less accurate, however, they can be applied in many cases. If the exposure level is not compliant with the limit, then the frequency selective measurements are required.

More information may be found in the [b-EN 50492] (Annex C), [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [IEC 62232].

7.2.5 Frequency selective measurement

Frequency selective measurement can provide information on the field strength and the spectral characteristics of the measured RF EMFs. These measurements allow for the use of different exposure limits in the different frequency ranges but require post-processing. These measurements give information about frequencies of measured sources and about contribution of each of them to the total exposure.

The results of the exposure assessment using the frequency selective measurements are more accurate in comparison with the results of the broadband measurements. In Figure 7.3 an example of the results of the frequency selective measurement is presented. Table 7.1 presents another example of the numerical results of the frequency selective measurements (the combined electric field strength is presented for each frequency band).




Figure 7.3 – Example of the result of the frequency selective measurement

Table 7.1 – Example of the numerical results of the frequency selective measurements

Measurement point	Band	Frequency (MHz)	Reference level (V/m)	Height (m)	Measured value (V/m)	Exposure ratio
1-2	FM broadcast	87.5-108	28	1.1	0.5506	0.0003867
				1.5	0.6673	0.0005680
				1.7	0.8330	0.0008851
				Average		0.0006132
	VHF TV	174-230	28	1.1	0.0727	0.0000067
				1.5	0.0712	0.0000065
				1.7	0.0700	0.0000063
				Average		0.0000065
	UHF TV	470-862	30	1.1	0.1598	0.0000284
				1.5	0.1603	0.0000286
				1.7	0.1621	0.0000292
				Average		0.0000287
	GSM 900	925-960	42	1.1	0.1554	0.0000137
				1.5	0.1436	0.0000117
				1.7	0.1850	0.0000194
				Average		0.0000149
	GSM 1800	1805-1910	58	1.1	0.0628	0.0000012
				1.5	0.0783	0.0000018
				1.7	0.1107	0.0000036
				Average		0.0000022
	UMTS (WCDMA/3G)	2110-2170	61	1.1	0.1018	0.0000028
1.5				0.1059	0.0000030	
1.7				0.0839	0.0000019	
Average					0.0000026	
					Sum	0.0006681

The results in Figure 7.3 and in Table 7.1 correspond to two different points of investigation. For the comparison with the exposure limits post-processing is required in both cases. This is done in Table 7.1 and the results show that the total exposure ratio of this POI is compliant. The reference levels are from [ICNIRP].

More information may be found in the [b-EN 50492] (Annex C), [b-EN 50413] or [b-IEC 62311].

7.2.6 Near-field measurement

In the near-field region the electric (E) and the magnetic (H) field components are not proportionally related to each other and depend on the RF EMF source properties. Therefore both the electric and the magnetic field components shall be measured respectively. The total exposure for the combined E-field component and the combined H-field component shall be compared with exposure limits independently.

7.2.7 Post-processing

Post-processing is not required if only one RF EMF source is measured. If many RF EMF sources are in operation then post-processing consisting in the evaluation of the total exposure is usually required.

If a broadband measurement is performed and the band of the probe covers the whole range of operating frequencies then post-processing is not required. If a broadband measurement needs more than one probe to cover all the operating emissions then post-processing is also required.

Frequency selective measurement usually requires post-processing to evaluate the total exposure, taking into account the measured values and the respective exposure limits for each operating frequency. Some measurement instruments allow for the evaluation of the combined E using the most restrictive exposure limit in the considered frequency band. In this case the following formula is used:

$$E = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2} < E_{\text{lim}} \quad (7-5)$$

where E is the total electric field, due to all emissions.

In the above formulas for the electric field its rms (root mean square) value should be taken but in practice the RSS (root sum square) values are measured [b-EN 50383]. This is a typical approach not only for the wideband equipment but also for the frequency selective one. This result is then compared with the value of the strongest limit for the frequency band covering all emissions. This is very convenient but the evaluation is conservative. If such results show no compliance then appropriate frequency selective measurements should be done together with the post-processing to reassess compliance.

7.2.8 SAR measurement

For cases when compliance with the reference levels cannot be demonstrated, the basic restrictions may instead be used for compliance verification. For mobile communication frequency bands, SAR is usually the exposure metric to consider. Compliance assessments based on SAR are in general required for RF sources used close to the body (see [b-EN 50383], [IEC 62232], [IEC 62209-1], [IEC 62209-2] and [b-EN 50413]). SAR measurements are mainly conducted by specialized laboratories, since the measurements are complex and require special equipment. SAR measurement methodologies make use of phantoms simulating the human head or body which have been developed to provide conservative results with respect to exposure in real humans.

7.3 Calculations

Depending on the distance to the radiating source, different methods of calculation should be used guaranteeing sufficient accuracy of results. In general, if the relative distance (in relation to a wavelength) is shorter, then more sophisticated and technically difficult methods have to be applied. Calculations are relatively simple in the far-field region.

More details are given below and may be found in [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [b-IEC 62369-1], [IEC 62232], [ITU-T K.52], [ITU-T K.70] and [ITU-R BS.1698].

7.3.1 Methods of calculation in different field regions

There are many methods of calculation with varying degrees of accuracy and complexity. It is advised to use the simplest appropriate method for the exposure assessment. The choice is highly dependent on the field region in which points of investigation are located in relation to the radiating source. Below, the most frequently used methods are presented, starting from the simplest one.

7.3.1.1 Point-source model

The point-source model is a simple but very effective model which may be used for calculating exposure levels to be used in comparison with the reference levels (see [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [ITU-T K.52], [ITU-T K.61] and [ITU-T K.70]). It is assumed that the transmitting antenna is represented only by one point source, situated in the antenna electric

centre and having a radiation pattern of the considered transmitting antenna. In this case the equivalent plane-wave power density S_{eq} at the distance R to the antenna is as follows:

$$S_{eq} = \frac{P \cdot G_i}{4\pi R^2} F(\theta, \phi) \quad (7-6)$$

where:

S_{eq} equivalent plane-wave power density (W/m²) in a given direction;

R distance (m) from the radiation source;

P average power (W) emitted when the transmitter operates at maximum emission settings (all channels transmitting at their respective maximum power setting) and supplied to the radiation source (transmitting antenna);

G_i maximum gain of the transmitting antenna, relative to an isotropic radiator;

$F(\theta, \phi)$ antenna numeric gain (normalized gain), θ – elevation angle, ϕ – azimuth angle.

The accuracy of this model depends on the field region and on the antenna gain and is fully acceptable in the far-field region. The boundaries of the field regions are defined according to slightly different criteria which can be found in [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50400], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [IEC 62232], [ITU-R BS.1698], [ITU-T K.52] and [ITU-T K.61]. This model is implemented in the software EMF-estimator ([ITU-T K.70] Appendix I).

The simplest version of this method is if the isotropic radiation pattern is used with maximum ERP. In this case the formula for the equivalent plane-wave power density S_{eq} at the distance R to the antenna has simplest form:

$$S_{eq} = \frac{P \cdot G_i}{4\pi R^2} \quad (7-7)$$

The formula above is very useful for the first approximation of the expected field level, especially during pre-analysis. In real cases this formula may give a very high estimate. However, if the calculations based on this formula show compliance then no further assessment is needed.

7.3.1.2 Synthetic model

In the synthetic model [IEC 62232] ([b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50413]) each antenna is considered to be a set of elementary sources which have identical parameters. Such an approach is natural, for example, for broadcast antennas which usually contain sets (up to 64) of identical panels operating as one transmitting antenna [ITU-R BS.1195]. In the case of GSM panels (or similar antennas), they can be divided into "patches" (usually containing one dipole with a screen) and each of them can be considered as a separate radiating source. This model can be employed for distances beyond the near-field distance, which is calculated with respect to the maximum size of an elementary radiating source (patch in GSM, panel in broadcasting) and not the size of the whole system. In this case the electric field strength E in the POI is as follows:

$$E = \sum_n \frac{\sqrt{30P_n G_n}}{r_n} e^{j(\gamma_n + \frac{2\pi r r_n}{\lambda})} \quad (7-8)$$

P_n : average power (W) delivered to the input of the nth radiating panel or "patch";

r_n : distance between POI and the centre of the nth radiating panel or "patch";

γ_n : relative phase of voltage delivered to the nth radiating panel or "patch";

G_n : gain of n th radiating panel or "patch" towards the POI relative to an isotropic antenna;

λ : wavelength.

The synthetic model leads to very accurate results, but the accuracy is lower than in the full-wave modelling, because the coupling between radiating sources is neglected. In many cases (for example, for all the broadcasting antennas or mobile base station panels) this assumption is well fulfilled.

A disadvantage of this model is that the exact information concerning the feeding arrangement (P_n and γ_n) of the antenna system containing many radiating sources is required.

7.3.1.3 Full-wave methods

The highest accuracy of the calculation of the reference levels is achieved by the numerical modelling using one of the full-wave methods based on solving Maxwell's equations in frequency or time domain (see [b-EN 50383], [b-EN 50413], [b-IEC 62311], [IEC 62232], [ITU-R BS.1698] and [ITU-T K.61]). It includes the method of moments (MoM), finite difference time domain (FDTD) and many others. Such methods of calculation may be used for any source region. They use detailed-segmented models of systems where the more detailed-segmented model leads to higher accuracy of the evaluated field distribution.

A typical transmitting station or base station consists of many transmitting antennas. The area required for consideration is usually big and inhomogeneous. In such a case the method of moments (MoM) is more convenient than other methods.

The application of numerical modelling, as described above, requires the appropriate software, experience in electromagnetics, and very detailed input data. There are many commercial packages available. In some cases there are limitations concerning the number of unknowns (the number of segments) that may be used in calculations. The accuracy of the results of calculations strongly depends on the exactness and the range of accessible data concerning a transmitting antenna, which includes antenna geometry and its feeding arrangement. Many antennas (broadcasting antenna systems, cellular panels, etc.) contain a huge number of active radiating elements (up to 256) which are fed with different amplitudes and phases. Without such information the calculation is impossible or may be simplified for a general assessment only. In many cases (for example, for the GSM panels which also consist of many dipoles) it is very difficult to obtain the required data – it is the manufacturer's proprietary information.

Methods such as MoM also allow for the possibility to take into account all other objects which have an influence on the radiation level as secondary sources causing reflections (for example, facings made of metallic elements, antenna towers and antenna supporting structures, ground, etc.).

In general, the full-wave methods provide a good opportunity to take into account almost all substantial factors influencing radiation, but they are useful in rather simple cases only, or for the reactive near-field region in which other methods are not sufficiently accurate. This is partly so because it is very difficult to collect all the data needed. Additionally, sophisticated software and experience in electromagnetics are required, together with significant computer resources.

7.3.1.4 SAR calculations

For cases when compliance with the reference levels cannot be demonstrated, the basic restrictions may instead be used for compliance verification. For mobile communication frequency bands, SAR is usually the exposure metric to consider. Compliance assessments based on SAR are in general required for RF sources used close to the body (see [IEC 62232], [b-IEC 62311], [b-EN 50383] and [b-EN 50413]). Numerical models of the device under test and the head or body phantom are required for the SAR calculation. SAR calculations are complex and require experience in

numerical modelling. There are several software packages available and the most frequently used method is FDTD, but other methods may also be used.

7.3.1.5 Influence of the reflections

The calculations in many cases are done for free space conditions. A reflection from the ground or from other structures, such as buildings or fencing (especially metallic objects), may lead to an increase in the value of the field level. In the conservative approach the reflections from the ground can be taken into account as in [ITU-T K.52], Appendix II. In typical cases, where an observation point is located up to a few metres above the terrain level, the electric field strength is multiplied by the factor 1.6, which means that the corresponding power density is multiplied by the factor 2.56.

Reflections from other structures are discussed in detail in [b-EN 50400], Annex C, together with the information about factors which can be used in many situations. It should be noted that in a complex environment – with many reflections present – the highest multiplication factor should be used. In practice, the maximum value of the multiplication factor is 2 for the electric field strength, which corresponds to 4 for the power density.

7.3.2 Modelling of the transmitting antennas

The real source of intentional RF EMF is the transmitting antenna – not the transmitter itself, because the transmitting antenna is the radiating source that determines the RF EMF distribution in the vicinity of a transmitting station. From the viewpoint of protection against exposure the most important parameters of the transmitting antenna (or radiating source) are: operating frequency, EIRP and radiation pattern (which also determine the antenna directivity and the antenna gain). The antenna radiation pattern requires modelling.

The transmitting antenna is represented by the 3D radiation pattern $f(\theta, \phi)$ [ITU-R BS.1195]. In the numerical modelling (like MoM or FDTD) the radiation pattern (or directivity) is determined by the numerical solution that depends on the geometry and feeding arrangement of the transmitting antenna. The radiation pattern $f(\theta, \phi)$ is a function of the elevation and azimuth angles. The best accuracy can be achieved if the exact 3D radiation pattern $f(\theta, \phi)$ is known and is directly used in the calculation. However, in practice the telecommunication operator knows only the two cross-sections of the radiation pattern: in a horizontal plane (called horizontal radiation pattern, HRP):

$$H(\phi) = f(\theta, \phi) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_{\max}} \quad (7-9)$$

where:

$H(\phi)$: horizontal radiation pattern (HRP);

θ : elevation angle;

ϕ : azimuth angle;

θ_{\max} : elevation angle at which the maximum radiation occurs.

and in a vertical plane (called vertical radiation pattern – VRP):

$$V(\theta) = f(\theta, \phi) \Big|_{\phi=\phi_{\max}} \quad (7-10)$$

where:

$V(\theta)$: vertical radiation pattern (VRP);

ϕ_{\max} : elevation angle at which the maximum radiation occurs.

The actual values of the radiation pattern for any elevation and azimuth angles are usually approximated by the relationship [ITU-R BS.1195]:

$$f(\theta, \phi) = H(\phi) \cdot V(\theta) \quad (7-11)$$

There are many models of transmitting antennas with different degrees of complexity and accuracy that may be used. The most important are the following.

- Antenna with isotropic radiation pattern and with maximum EIRP: This model is the simplest one and may be used to model any transmitting antenna, however, it gives most conservative results with the highest overestimation of the level of exposure.
- Antenna as a point source with HRP and VRP: This model is sufficient for the far field region; radiation patterns may be delivered by the manufacturer or evaluated using specialist antenna software (MoM may be used for this purpose). If the radiation patterns are evaluated the data concerning transmitting antenna configuration (including feeding arrangement) is required.
- Antenna as a point source with radiation pattern as a two-dimensional function $f(\theta, \phi)$: In general the applicability is as above, however, results of exposure assessment will be more accurate because there is no need for interpolation of the radiation pattern for the direction outside HRP and VRP.
- Antenna model in the full wave software (like MoM or FDTD): This model is most accurate, with applicability even in the reactive near-field region; it also allows for the SAR evaluation (FDTD, MoM/FEM) if compliance with basic restrictions is needed. This model requires very detailed data concerning the configuration of each metal or dielectric part of the antenna (also antenna tower if necessary) and concerning the feeding arrangement.

The radiation pattern is a function of frequency and for a wideband antenna system varies substantially across the antenna band. This means that for wideband transmitting antennas the radiation patterns have to be evaluated for each operating frequency (e.g., FM, VHF, UHF broadcasting); for other radiocommunication systems one radiation pattern is sufficient for all the operating channels (mobile communication – in each band).

7.3.3 Radiated power ERP/EIRP evaluation

The ERP is a product of the power supplied to an antenna and the maximum antenna gain relative to the half-wave dipole. If the antenna gain is taken relative to the isotropic antenna than EIRP is evaluated. The following relations hold between ERP and EIRP:

$$EIRP [dBd] = ERP [dBi] + 2.15 \text{ dB} \quad (7-12)$$

$$EIRP [W/W] = 1.64 \text{ ERP [W/W]} \quad (7-13)$$

where:

2.15 dB is the gain of the half-wave dipole relative to the isotropic antenna.

The EIRP has to include not only the directivity of the antenna and its dissipative losses but also the attenuation of the feeding system. The main contribution to the attenuation of the feeding system is due to the feeder (usually coaxial cable) but also to the connectors and in some cases to the combiners and additional connecting lines. A combiner is used to combine many transmitters into one feeder and in consequence to one antenna system. This is a typical situation in broadcasting where, for example, many FM transmitters are feeding one antenna system. Additional losses may be also present in mobile communication when combining many channels into one transmitting antenna panel or by diplexer giving separation of the transmitting and receiving frequency bands. Thus, the EIRP should be calculated using the formula:

$$EIRP [dBm] = P [dBm] + G_i[dBi] - L [dB] \quad (7-14)$$

where:

P : average transmitter power in dBm (relative to the 1 mW);

G_i : antenna gain (including internal losses) relative to an isotropic antenna;

L : transmission losses in dB (including feeder losses and additional losses).

For example, if GSM900 antenna (with antenna gain $G_i=17$ dBi) is fed by 30 m of the foam coaxial cable of diameter 7/8" (attenuation about 6.9 dB/100 m on 925 MHz) then the feeder losses equal 2.07 dB. Additional losses for the connectors may be assumed 0.3 dB so the total losses equal 2.37 dB. If the GSM transmitter has 30 W output power then the EIRP = 871.2 W. If the losses are neglected then EIRP = 1503.6 W. It is seen that if losses are neglected then there is substantial overestimation of the EIRP and in consequence the level of exposure. Typical level of the losses are in the range from 1 to 3 dB but in some cases it may reach 6 dB or more.

In the case of human exposure evaluation, the average transmitter power P should be used. For many services (all digital, FM, etc.) the average power is equal to the nominal (rated) transmitter power. However, there are some services like analogue TV or LW, MW and SW transmitters in which the average power is different. In this case a conversion factor should be used.

More information can be found in [ITU-T K.70], [ITU-R BS.1698].

7.4 Comparison between measurement and calculations

Measurements require measuring equipment and experienced staff. Measurements referring to basic restrictions are done on phantoms of the human body (especially head) and are very complex and expensive. They are usually performed by specialized laboratories. Measurements of the reference levels are much easier and cheaper, and may be wideband or frequency selective. More accurate are the frequency selective measurements but measuring equipment is more expensive and the measurements are more time consuming.

The main advantages of measurements as the method for exposure assessment are:

- it takes into account all the radiating sources present in a given region, with their real parameters;
- it takes into account the real environment (reflections, antenna supporting hardware, obstacles, etc.);
- it takes into account simultaneous exposure in the real way (the phase differences of different waves);
- it can be done with little knowledge about radiating sources (a preliminary identification of occupied spectrum is required only);
- good quality measurement equipment is available on the market;
- a live demonstration of the measurement to interested people is possible.

The main disadvantages are:

- measurement is not possible when a radiating source (new or additional) is in the design stage unless a temporary transmitter can be installed;
- in many cases (e.g., mobile communication) the exposure level depends on the time of measurement (peak-hours or off peak-hours), so the measurement may not reflect the maximum exposure and the results can be underestimated;
- the influence of personnel and equipment on the local distribution of the electromagnetic field will increase uncertainty and should be minimized;
- it is difficult to confirm and check that all the sources in a given environment are operating at maximum EIRP;
- in a multiple sources environment a post-processing is required because of different limits for different operating frequencies;
- there is no probe covering the whole required frequency range, so usually a set of probes is needed for measurements;

- out of band emissions may have an influence on the results of measurements in the considered frequency band (this should be eliminated during the post-processing).

The calculations require specialized software, experienced staff and data concerning the transmitting systems (antennas). There are many commercial packages allowing for the RF EMF evaluation, based on various models offering different level of accuracy, and requiring different experience and input data. Generally, the more sophisticated software the bigger are the requirements concerning input data (parameters of the transmitting antennas) and qualifications of staff, but the results of the evaluation are more accurate.

The calculations of quantities used for basic restrictions (e.g., SAR) or the RF EMF exposure levels (to compare with the reference levels) are possible. The calculations of SAR are more complex and time consuming, and require the numerical model of the human body.

The advantages of calculations as the method for exposure assessment are:

- the method allows to consider the planned radiating sources (not in operation yet);
- it allows to apply the maximum possible exposure conditions (EIRPs) that lead to the evaluation of the maximum possible exposure level;
- the choice among many calculation methods of varying levels of complexity and accuracy is possible;
- calculations with high levels of overestimation are easy to perform and are sufficient in cases where exposure levels are far below the limits;
- calculation costs are lower than the costs of measurement;
- calculations allow the simulation of mitigation techniques if required [ITU-T K.70] – an analysis of the influence of the variation of transmitting equipment parameters on exposure levels ;
- calculations for areas with no access (safety reason, no right to access, etc.) are possible;
- the data on the much denser grid (than in measurement) can be obtained and a visualization of the results can be easily prepared for the whole considered area (like horizontal or vertical cross-sections).

The disadvantages of the calculations are:

- for accurate evaluation a detailed description of the radiating antennas (geometry, feeding arrangement, data concerning panels, etc.) is necessary;
- the effect of reflections from various objects is difficult to model;
- the method requires knowledge of the software and experience in using it (understanding of the background theory is also recommended);
- it requires at least basic knowledge of the transmitting antennas theory.

There are many methods of measurements and calculations, of varying levels of complexity and accuracy. The uncertainty of the calculations and measurements depends on the method used. In general, the best approach is to start with the simplest method (of measurement or calculation) with the least accuracy and, preferably, high overestimation. If exposure levels appear to be close to exposure limits then a more sophisticated (complex) method, that offers higher accuracy but also needs more precise input data, better tools and more experienced staff, should be used.

[IEC 62232] provides guidance on verification of the software and considers uncertainties in some detail.

7.5 Monitoring RF EMF levels

As an effort to increase the information about RF EMF exposure levels, a long-term RF EMF measurement system may be a good solution. Such a system monitors the exposure levels of the general public in specific locations. The results of continuous measurement of the exposure level in the whole spectrum used in radiocommunication are registered by the automatic system in order to show the exposure as a function of time. The results of measurements may be presented for the general public by a user-friendly tool accessible via Internet. Such a system is more transparent and may be reassuring to citizens worried whether RF EMF levels are under control.

More information may be found in [ITU-T K.83].

8 Conclusions following the exposure assessment

If all areas accessible to people are compliant with limits then the exposure assessment can be finished and no further action is required.

If there are areas accessible to people that are not compliant with the limits then immediate action is required and two solutions are possible:

- areas not compliant with the limits should be properly marked and/or barricaded in order to prevent the presence of the general public or to limit the time workers are present;
- some mitigation techniques should be applied in order to decrease the exposure levels and to achieve compliance with the limits in all the areas accessible to people.

The simplest method of achieving compliance with the limits is to decrease a transmitter (transmitters) output power (it is easy to apply but it decreases the coverage of the radiocommunication service) or adjust the location of the antennas (for example, base station antennas can be mounted above an accessible rooftop). Many other methods of mitigation are possible which do not lead to degradation of the service quality.

More information may be found in [ITU-T K.70], or in [IEEE C95.7].

9 Final report

Requirements concerning the form of the final reports may vary in different countries, however some of them are common in most cases. The content of the final report should contain:

- description of the transmitting station (or area) that is the subject of the report;
- description of the RF EMF sources in the area under consideration;
- description of the methods of exposure assessment that are used;
- information concerning measurement equipment or calculation tools;
- information about factors that may influence results of measurements and/or calculations (humidity, type of terrain, possible reflecting objects, etc.);
- results of the exposure assessment;
- the final conclusions – the most important is the statement if the considered area accessible to people is compliant with regulations;
- information concerning authors of the report (affiliation, names).

It is advisable to use tables and charts (especially for the presentation of the results of the exposure assessment) in order to make information as clear as possible even for inexperienced readers.

10 Field levels around typical transmitting antennas

In Appendix III some examples of the measured RF EMF levels in the areas accessible to general public are presented. It can be noticed that in general the exposure levels are much lower than exposure limits. This is because it is most important for the telecom operator to achieve as uniform as possible distribution of the RF EMF in the coverage area. It means that the radiation patterns of the antenna systems are designed in such a way that the highest radiation levels are pointed into longer distances and the lower levels into shorter distances. In consequence, the highest part of the energy is radiated above the terrain close to the antenna tower.

In the case of mobile handsets, the antenna radiation pattern is close to omnidirectional because the base station in which the connection is established can be in any direction. It is not reasonable to reduce the radiation level in the direction of the human head because it may be the same direction as to the base station. But in the mobile handsets the radiation level is set as low as possible for the reliable communication (automatic power control, APC) and it is strictly controlled before the device is put on the market.

In general, digital radiocommunication systems are more efficient and use lower radiated power. This means that, in general, transition from analogue to digital systems leads to lower levels of human exposure.

11 Conclusions

There are many possible methods of the exposure assessment and each of them has its own advantages and disadvantages. This Recommendation gives guidance on which method should be chosen, depending on the needs and circumstances.

Appendix I

Exposure limits

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

I.1 Introduction

There is no international consensus on the EMF exposure limits but WHO recommends the adoption of limits based on the ICNIRP [ICNIRP] and IEEE [IEEE C.95.1] guidelines. Nevertheless national regulations have priority. Exposure limits in various countries may be found on the WHO website:

<http://www.who.int/docstore/peh-emf/EMFStandards/who-0102/Worldmap5.htm>.

In most cases, the EMF exposure limits are based on [ICNIRP] or [IEEE C95.1] guidelines that are based on the scientific research and currently available knowledge. The least discrepancy of the exposure limits of these two guidelines is for the frequencies from 30 MHz to 3 GHz, covering ranges of highest interest in radiocommunication. The last revision of [IEEE C.95.1] resolves a major harmonization issue with ICNIRP and IEEE RF standards. The efforts to achieve internationally harmonized exposure limits continue. In many cases exposure limits are also given by national authorities (national regulations) and they are often prepared in a different way and based on different assumptions.

I.2 Exposure limits

Exposure limits are defined for the general public (uncontrolled environment) and for occupational exposure (controlled environment). It is understandable that exposure limits for the general public are more conservative (restrictive) than those for occupational exposure.

Two kinds of guidance exist: Basic restrictions – based directly on established adverse health effects – and reference levels – provided for practical exposure assessment purposes, to determine whether basic restrictions are likely to be exceeded.

The reference levels as exposure limits are used in all the countries that regulate exposure limits. In contrast, basic restrictions may be defined differently. For example, instead of SAR the energy loading value as the quantity for basic restriction is used, time and space averaging procedures are different, or instead of the average a maximum value over certain space and/or time is used, etc.

As mentioned above the ICNIRP guidelines are used worldwide, either directly or as the basis for national regulations. Even in the countries where the national regulations do not allow using the ICNIRP guidelines, the recommended exposure limits are usually more restrictive. In those countries where the regulations concerning human protection against non-ionizing radiation do not exist, the guidelines give the opportunity to take some protective measures. Thus, the ICNIRP guidelines constitute a reliable and established reference basis for applications, discussion and further development of the domain. A similar role can be played by the IEEE guidelines [IEEE C.95.1]. For this reason, both ICNIRP and IEEE/ICES limits are given for information in this appendix.

I.3 ICNIRP exposure limits

The limits for the basic restrictions and for the reference levels are shown in Tables I.1 and I.2.

Table I.1 – ICNIRP basic restrictions limits

Type of exposure	Frequency range	Current density for head and trunk (mA/m^2) (rms)	Whole-body average SAR (W/kg)	Localized SAR (head and trunk) (W/kg)	Localized SAR (limbs) (W/kg)
Occupational	Up to 1 Hz	40			
	1-4 Hz	$40/f$			
	4 Hz-1 kHz	10			
	1-100 kHz	$f/100$			
	100 kHz-10 MHz	$f/100$	0.4	10	20
	10 MHz-10 GHz		0.4	10	20
General public	Up to 1 Hz	8			
	1-4 Hz	$8/f$			
	4 Hz-1 kHz	2			
	1-100 kHz	$f/500$			
	100 kHz-10 MHz	$f/500$	0.08	2	4
	10 MHz-10 GHz		0.08	2	4

NOTE 1 – f is the frequency in Hertz.
 NOTE 2 – Because of electrical inhomogeneity of the body, current densities should be averaged over a cross-section of 1 cm^2 perpendicular to the current direction.
 NOTE 3 – All SAR values are to be averaged over any 6-minute period.
 NOTE 4 – The localized SAR averaging mass is any 10 g of contiguous tissue; the maximum SAR so obtained should be the value used for the estimation of exposure.

Table I.2 – ICNIRP reference levels (unperturbed rms values) limits

Type of exposure	Frequency range	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Equivalent plane wave power density S_{eq} (W/m^2)
Occupational exposure	Up to 1 Hz	–	1.63×10^5	–
	1-8 Hz	20 000	$1.63 \times 10^5/f^2$	–
	8-25 Hz	20 000	$2 \times 10^4/f$	–
	0.025-0.82 kHz	$500/f$	$20/f$	–
	0.82-65 kHz	610	24.4	–
	0.065-1 MHz	610	$1.6/f$	–
	1-10 MHz	$610/f$	$1.6/f$	–
	10-400 MHz	61	0.16	10
	400-2000 MHz	$3f^{1/2}$	$0.008f^{1/2}$	$f/40$
	2-300 GHz	137	0.36	50

Table I.2 – ICNIRP reference levels (unperturbed rms values) limits

Type of exposure	Frequency range	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Equivalent plane wave power density S_{eq} (W/m ²)
General public	Up to 1 Hz	–	3.2×10^4	–
	1-8 Hz	10 000	$3.2 \times 10^4/f^2$	–
	8-25 Hz	10 000	$4000/f$	–
	0.025-0.8 kHz	$250/f$	$4/f$	–
	0.8-3 kHz	$250/f$	5	–
	3-150 kHz	87	5	–
	0.15-1 MHz	87	$0.73/f$	–
	1-10 MHz	$87/f^{1/2}$	$0.73/f$	–
	10-400 MHz	28	0.073	2
	400-2000 MHz	$1.375f^{1/2}$	$0.0037f^{1/2}$	$f/200$
2-300 GHz	61	0.16	10	

NOTE 1 – f is as indicated in the frequency range column.

NOTE 2 – For frequencies between 100 kHz and 10 GHz, the averaging time is 6 minutes.

NOTE 3 – For frequencies up to 100 kHz, the peak values can be obtained by multiplying the rms value by $\sqrt{2}$ (≈ 1.414). For pulses of duration t_p , the equivalent frequency to apply should be calculated as $f = 1/(2t_p)$.

NOTE 4 – Between 100 kHz and 10 MHz, peak values for the field strengths are obtained by interpolation from the 1.5-fold peak at 100 MHz to the 32-fold peak at 10 MHz. For frequencies exceeding 10 MHz, it is suggested that the peak equivalent plane-wave power density, as averaged over the pulse width, does not exceed 1000 times the S_{eq} limit, or that the field strength does not exceed the 32 times field strength exposure levels given in the table.

NOTE 5 – For frequencies exceeding 10 GHz, the averaging time is $68/f^{1.05}$ minutes (f in GHz).

I.4 Simultaneous exposure to multiple sources

The exposure assessment in the multiple sources environment, according to the existing standards, requires the calculation of the cumulative exposure (in some standards called also the total exposure ratio). All the operating frequencies must be considered in a weighted sum, where each individual source is pre-rated according to the limit applicable to its frequency. For compliance with the regulations, the total exposure should be less than 1.

According to [ICNIRP], for simultaneous exposure to fields at different frequencies, the compliance with the exposure limits is evaluated using the equations below. All conditions for the appropriate frequency ranges are to be satisfied.

$$\sum_{i=1\text{kHz}}^{1\text{MHz}} \frac{E_i}{E_{l,i}} + \sum_{i>1\text{MHz}}^{10\text{MHz}} \frac{E_i}{a} \leq 1 \quad (\text{I-1})$$

$$\sum_{j=1\text{kHz}}^{1\text{MHz}} \frac{H_j}{H_{l,j}} + \sum_{j>1\text{MHz}}^{10\text{MHz}} \frac{H_j}{b} \leq 1 \quad (\text{I-2})$$

where:

- E_i : is the electric field strength at frequency i ;
- $E_{l,i}$: is the reference limit at frequency i ;
- H_j : is the magnetic field strength at frequency j ;
- $H_{l,j}$: is the reference limit at frequency j ;
- a : 610 V/m for occupational exposure and 87 V/m for general public exposure;
- b : 24.4 A/m for occupational exposure and 5 A/m for general public exposure.

$$\sum_{i=100\text{kHz}}^{1\text{MHz}} \left(\frac{E_i}{c} \right)^2 + \sum_{i>1\text{MHz}}^{300\text{GHz}} \left(\frac{E_i}{E_{l,i}} \right)^2 \leq 1 \quad (\text{I-3})$$

$$\sum_{j=100\text{kHz}}^{1\text{MHz}} \left(\frac{H_j}{d} \right)^2 + \sum_{j>1\text{MHz}}^{300\text{GHz}} \left(\frac{H_j}{H_{l,j}} \right)^2 \leq 1 \quad (\text{I-4})$$

where:

- E_i : is the electric field strength at frequency i ;
- $E_{l,i}$: is the reference limit at frequency i ;
- H_j : is the magnetic field strength at frequency j ;
- $H_{l,j}$: is the reference limit at frequency j ;
- c : $610/f$ V/m (f in MHz) for occupational exposure and $87/f^{1/2}$ V/m for general public exposure;
- d : $1.6/f$ A/m (f in MHz) for occupational exposure and $0.73/f$ for general public exposure.

I.5 IEEE International Committee Electromagnetic Safety (ICES) exposure limits

The IEEE/ICES limits are shown in Tables I.3 and I.4.

Table I.3 – IEEE/ICES basic restrictions for frequencies between 100 kHz and 3 GHz

Type of exposure	Frequency range	Whole-body average SAR (W/kg)	Localized SAR (head, trunk and upper limbs) (W/kg)	Localized SAR (extremities and pinnae) (W/kg)
Controlled environment	100 kHz-3 GHz	0.4	10	20
General public	100 kHz-3 GHz	0.08	2	4

Table I.4 – IEEE/ICES, maximum permissible exposure limits, RF EMF

Type of exposure	Frequency range (MHz)	rms electric field strength (V/m)	rms magnetic field strength (A/m)	rms power density (E-field, H-field) (W/m ²)	Averaging time (min)	
					E ²	S or H ²
Controlled environment	0.1-1.0	1842	16.3/f _M	(9000, 100 000/f _M ²)	6	
	1-30	1842/f _M	16.3/f _M	(9000/f _M ² , 100 000/f _M ²)	6	
	30-100	61.4	16.3/f _M	(10, 100 000/f _M ²)	6	
	100-300	61.4	0.163	10	6	
	300-3000	–	–	f _M /30	6	
	3000-30 000	–	–	100	19.63/f _G ^{1.079}	
	30 000-300 000	–	–	100	2.524/f _G ^{0.476}	
General public	0.1-1.34	614	16.3/f _M	(1000, 100 000/f _M ²)	6	6
	1.34-3.0	823.8/f _M	16.3/f _M	(1800/f _M ² , 100 000/f _M ²)	f _M ² /0.3	6
	3-30	823.8/f _M	16.3/f _M	(1800/f _M ² , 100 000/f _M ²)	30	6
	30-100	27.5	158.3/f _M ^{1.668}	(2, 9 400 000/f _M ^{3.336})	30	0.0636 f _M ^{1.337}
	100-400	27.5	0.0729	2	30	30
	400-2000	–	–	f _M /200	30	
	2000-5000	–	–	10	30	
	5000-30 000	–	–	10	150/f _G	
	30 000-100 000	–	–	10	25.24/f _G ^{0.476}	
	100 000-300 000	–	–	(90f _G -7000)/200	5048/[(9f _G -700) f _G ^{0.476}]	
NOTE – f _M is the frequency in MHz, f _G is the frequency in GHz.						

Appendix II

Time averaging

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

II.1 Analysis of time variations of measured electric fields from WCDMA mobile base stations

This clause presents the results of investigation of the effect of measurement of the time-variation on the measured E-fields. The measurement has been done around WCDMA mobile base stations in Japan. Figure II.1 shows the standard deviation for different measurement times. The results indicate very small variation in standard deviations. The maximum and minimum standard deviations are 1.7 and 1.5 dB, respectively, obtained for measurement times of 10 and 20 seconds. Table II.1 lists time average E-fields for each measurement time. The values are normalized to the average value obtained for a 6-minute measurement time. In the table, the numbers of measured data are also listed. It can be seen that the variation of the average E-field is very small for measurement times of up to 6 minutes. However, there is a sudden increase in the average value for 1 hour and 24 hours, even though their standard deviations are almost the same as those obtained for measurements of up to 6 minutes.




Figure II.1 – Standard deviation as a function of measurement time

Table II.1 – Time average E-fields normalized to the value of the 6-minute measurement time

Time	10 s	20 s	30 s	40 s	50 s	1 mn	2 mn	3 mn	4 mn	5 mn	6 mn	1 h	24 h
Number of measurements	62	115	172	230	280	334	654	976	1299	1660	1940	174	1429
Average E-field (dB)	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	0	-4.0	-4.2

NOTE – The number of measured data for each measurement time is also listed.

Very small variations of 0.1 and 0.4 dB in standard deviation and time average E-field, respectively, have been obtained for measurement times of up to 6 minutes.

In the Republic of Korea the effect of time reduction has been considered by the analyses of the RF EMF around CDMA base stations in the eighteen periods of time from 180 to 10 sec with a 10 sec interval (180, 170, 160, ..., 30, 20, 10 sec).

Table II.2 shows the variation of time-averaged electric field strengths in one point for several time periods ranging from over 10 to 360 sec of the seven target base stations. These values were calculated using the data obtained during the first time periods that had the same starting time.

Table II.2 – Comparative data of electric field strength for different averaging times (unit: dB μ V/m)

Base station No.	Time (sec)				
	360	180	60	40	10
1	109.14	109.14	109.16	109.14	109.08
2	109.80	109.79	109.79	109.79	109.80
3	107.07	107.07	107.09	107.07	107.11
4	111.59	111.55	111.60	111.63	111.81
5	92.56	92.36	92.34	92.33	92.56
6	90.41	90.28	90.76	90.84	90.74
7	97.38	97.26	97.39	97.40	97.67

In Table II.2 each element corresponds to the calculated value using the data obtained during the first period (1~10 sec) among 36 periods (1~10, 11~20, 21~30, ..., 341~350, 351~360 sec). Similarly, in the case of the 40 sec column, it is that of the first period (1~40 sec) among nine periods (1~40, 41~80, 81~120, 121~160, 161~200, 201~240, 241~280, 281~320, 321~360 sec). These average values seem to be nearly the same. As described earlier, since the reference levels can be averaged over any 6 min or 360 sec, this comparison is appropriate.




Figure II.2 – Standard deviation for different averaging times compared with that of 360 seconds

In Figure II.2 the standard deviation (SD) between the 360 sec average value and different time periods is presented. These were estimated by the several average values calculated during successive time periods, which have no overlapping time period. For example, SD values at 60 sec

were estimated by the six values averaged during 1~60, 61~120, 121~180, 181~240, 241~300, and 301~360 sec. The maximum SD among all base stations occurred in the case of base station No. 6, and its value was 0.58 dB at 10 sec. As can be seen in Figure II.2, over 60 sec no base stations have an SD exceeding 0.4 dB; the shortest period of 60 sec was therefore selected as the suitable averaging time for human exposure measurement.

A dataset of measured E-fields of a WCDMA base station signal *in situ* from 10 sec to 1 week is presented below.



Figure II.3 – Base station




Figure II.4 – Field nose (left) and SRM-3000 (right)

Measurements configurations

Measurements were carried out at 2000 MHz band for *in situ* measurement. An SRM-3000 system (Narda) and field nose (ARC) were located at the rooftop of a building (Figures II.3 and II.4). The measured base station is in the urban area of Tokyo. The distance between the measurement location and the base station was 750 m. The height from the floor to the receiving antenna was set at 85 cm, due to the restriction to positioning the measurement devices at the site. The RBW was set at 100 kHz. During the measurement from 10 sec to 6 min, the time interval of the measurement was set at 10 sec and 20 sec for SRM-3000 and field nose, respectively. For the measurement time longer than 6 min, 12-min time-intervals were employed because of the limitation of the data storage.

Results and discussion

Figure II.5 shows the standard deviation for different measurement times during one day. The results show that the maximum standard deviations of field nose and SRM-3000 are 2.1 and 2.6 dB, respectively, obtained for measurement time of 1 hour. It can be seen that the standard deviations are similar for measurement times of up to 6 minutes for both systems. Though there is a sudden increase in the standard deviations for 1 hour and 24 hours, the standard deviations are still smaller than 3 dB.

Figure II.6 shows the standard deviations in each measurement day, along with the average of whole week. In this case, the maximum standard deviations of field nose and SRM-3000 are 1.9 and 2.9 dB, respectively, and it is almost comparable to the standard deviation of the whole week. Figure II.7 shows time average E-fields for each measurement time. The values are normalized to the average value obtained for a 6-minute measurement time. It can be seen that the average E-field from 6-minute measurements are within the standard deviation of one-day measurement for both measurement systems, and the value of shorter averaging time tends to be larger than the week-averaged E-field. One reason for this is the measurements were started during the daytime –

when traffic was heaviest –, therefore the one-day average tends to be smaller because it includes the quietest time of early morning.

Figure II.8 shows the scatter plot of one-week measurement with SRM-3000. A larger variation (max–min) up to 18 dB is shown.




Figure II.5 – Standard deviation as a function of measurement time during one day




Figure II.6 – Standard deviation as a function of measurement time during one week





Figure II.7 – Time average E-fields normalized to the value of the 6-minute measurement time



NOTE – Red line represents the averaged value.

Figure II.8 – Measured E-field strength during 1 week with SRM-3000

II.2 Analysis of time variations of measured electric fields from GSM mobile base stations

The effect of resolution bandwidth (RBW) and measurement times done in the United Republic of Tanzania for the GSM signals are presented below. Narda Selective Radiation Meter (SRM-3006) with isotropic probe was used in the measurements.

Measurements configurations

Measurements have been done at 1800 MHz band for *in situ* measurement of two GSM mobile phone base stations, referred to here as base stations 1 (BS 1) and 2 (BS 2). An SRM-3006 meter was located at the balcony in the second floor of a building as shown in Figure II.9. The distances between the measurement location and BS 1 and 2 were 630 and 750 m, respectively, with a clear line of sight (LOS). Figure II.10 shows BS 1. The two base stations belong to two different service providers. Each of the service providers has a downlink frequency band of 12.5 MHz. All measurements were taken with the isotropic probe fixed at the height of 1.5 m from the floor. Total fields were obtained by integrating E-fields in the downlink frequency band. The result type of the Narda equipment was set to actual.



Figure II.9 – Narda probe fixed on a wooden stand



Figure II.10 – Base station 1




Figure II.11 – Standard deviation as a function of RBW for BS 1 and BS 2




Figure II.12 – Average E-fields normalized to the value of the 200 kHz RBW

II.3 Results and discussion

II.3.1 RBW

In this clause the effect of RBW to the standard deviation (SD) and the level of measured signal for both BS 1 and BS 2 were investigated. A measurement time of 6 minutes and time interval of 18 seconds was used.

Figure II.11 shows the SD as a function of RBW. For BS 1, SD increases up to the RBW of 100 kHz and then starts to decrease. The minimum SD is obtained with RBW of 30 kHz. The maximum difference is 0.2 dB. A different trend is observed for the case of BS 2, with maximum and minimum SDs obtained at RBWs of 200 and 50 kHz, respectively. The maximum variation is 0.5 dB.

The effect of RBW on the measured E-field is plotted in Figure II.12. The measured values from the base stations were normalized to the E-field of 200 kHz RBW, which is the channel bandwidth of the GSM signal. The maximum variation (Max–Min) obtained are 1 and 1.7 dB, respectively, for BS 1 and 2. Interestingly, the maximum E-fields were recorded at the frequency of maximum SD for both base stations. The smaller RBW (30 kHz) may be used for accuracy of measured results. Measurement from BS 1 is found to be in agreement because the minimum SD was obtained at an

RBW of 30 kHz. This is not the case for BS 2. It should however be noted that the simulation may not be able to take into account other external factors such as reflections.

II.3.2 Measurement time

In this investigation, measurements of up to 1 hour were carried out. For measurements from 12 sec to 6 min, the time interval of the measurement was set at 6 sec; 1-min time-intervals were employed for the case of 1-hour measurements.

Figure II.13 shows the standard deviation for different measurement times for two different base stations. The results show that the maximum standard deviations of 1.7 and 5.1 dB, respectively, were obtained for measurement time of up to 1 hour. The standard deviations obtained are in the same range with the values obtained for the WCDMA system, and larger than the standard deviation of the CDMA signal. The recorded standard deviations are of similar values for measurement times of up to 4 minutes (except for the 12 sec value of BS 2) for both base stations. A sudden increase in the standard deviations for 5 min, 6 min and 1 hour is observed. A standard deviation exceeding 3 dB was obtained for the signal from BS 2.

The time average E-fields of the two base stations are shown in Figures II.14 and II.15. The values are normalized to the average value obtained for a 6-minute measurement time. The maximum average E-fields variations (Max–Min) are 0.9 and 1.9 dB for BS 1 and 2, respectively.




Figure II.13 – Standard deviation as a function of measurement time for BS 1 and 2




Figure II.14 – Time average E-fields of BS 1 normalized to the value of the 6-minute measurement time




Figure II.15 – Time average E-fields of BS 2 normalized to the value of the 6-minute measurement time

II.4 Discussion about the number of sampling data and averaging time

The measurement data of WCDMA base station measurement have been processed. The measurement has been carried out with a maximum duration of 1 hour. The minimum sampling interval was 10 seconds, due to the restriction by specification of the field measurement system SRM-3000 (Narda). A running average of each measurement time was considered.

Figure II.16 shows the variation of the relative standard deviation of running average at each measurement time during the measurement of 2 different averaging times with 2 different sampling intervals: 1-minute-averaging with 10-second interval (Scheme 1), 6-minute averaging with 10-second interval (Scheme 2), and 6-minute averaging with 1-minute interval (Scheme 3). The numbers of data for each averaging time are 7, 37 and 7, respectively.

Figure II.16 shows the trend that the shorter the averaging time, the larger the deviation becomes. However, except the quite large fluctuation around 20 minutes, the maximum fluctuations of Schemes 1 and 3 are approximately the same level of 10 dB. This implies that this fluctuation is caused by the same number of the sampling data. Therefore care must be taken when comparing averaged results. In this measurement, the standard deviation of whole one-hour averaging time was

-4.5 dB. Consequently, these data show that a shorter averaging time does not always show larger standard deviation, and it depends on the traffic of the signal at the measured time.




Figure II.16 – Fluctuation of relative standard deviation of a WCDMA base station E-field

Appendix III

Examples of RF EMF levels in areas accessible to the general public

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

The measurements were carried out in the Republic of Korea in areas where people live or spend substantial time, including hospitals, commercial areas (business areas), residential areas (densely built-up areas), schools and apartment complexes. Fifty places were selected: 27 in school areas, 11 in apartment complexes, 5 in commercial areas, 4 in hospitals and 3 in residential areas. Measurement positions in line-of-sight with the nearest CDMA base station were chosen. The measurement was performed at heights of 1.1 m, 1.5 m, and 1.7 m; using these field values, the spatially averaged value is then calculated. At each point the field values were averaged over 1 or 6 min. The measurements used an isotropic antenna to ensure all field components (x, y, z in Cartesian coordinates) were evaluated correctly considering the variation in field polarization at some distance from the base station's antenna. The measurement equipment used was a Narda SRM-3000 all-in-one spectrum analyser and an isotropic probe. This setup makes it possible to perform frequency selective measurements. When performing the measurement, the detection mode was maintained as the root mean square.

The spatially averaged field levels, which were calculated using time-averaged values at several places of concern, are shown in Figures III.1 and III.2. Since the reference levels (field strength) are frequency dependent (i.e., mobile communication is operated in different frequency bands, and due to differences in absorbing conditions different reference levels were set up), the exposure levels are depicted by exposure ratio, which is calculated by the square of the ratio of the measured electric field strength and the reference level, which is expressed as a fraction of the related limit. In Figure III.1, the spatially averaged values were expressed as the median of the specific classification (hospital, school, rural, single-location, and so on) and the horizontal bars denote the highest and lowest spatially-averaged field values recorded at each classification, respectively.





Figure III.1 – Exposure levels at a selection of densely populated areas

At all measured places the spatially averaged RF EMF levels (median value) were below 0.01% (exposure ratio: 0.0001) of the limit in the ICNIRP guidelines in Figure III.1. The highest exposure ratio (highest horizontal bar) occurred at the school, recording a value of 1.5×10^{-3} , which corresponds to a value of 1.5 V/m by the root sum square method (CDMA800: 0.1 V/m, CDMA1800: 1.5 V/m).

Figure III.2 shows roughly the cumulative number of positions less than a specific (total) exposure ratio. For instance, in the case of the total line in the graph, the maximum number of positions is 50, meaning that all the spatially averaged field values measured do not exceed 0.01. Almost all of the positions (48 out of 50; 96%) had no more than 0.1% of the limit (exposure ratio: 0.001).



NOTE – Field levels are depicted as a fraction of the exposure limits.

Figure III.2 – Cumulative numbers of positions at a selection of densely populated areas

For the thirteen services served and 1250 positions across the Republic of Korea, the electric field strength by population density is presented in Table III.1. Exposure levels at several places classified by population density (rural: 123, urban: 517, suburbs: 610) are shown in Table III.1 and Figure III.3. Urban areas have an exposure level that is high. The figure also shows that the more densely populated the area is, the larger the exposure levels become.

Table III.1 – Average electric field strength of various services by population density (in V/m)

	Frequency band (MHz)	Rural	Urban	Suburbs
TV (VHF)	54~174	0.024	0.023	0.025
FM	88~108	0.016	0.030	0.029
TV (VHF,T-DMB)	174~ 216	0.015	0.032	0.026
LBS	322~328.6	0.006	0.009	0.007
TRS (low)	390~400	0.004	0.004	0.004
TV (UHF, D-TV)	470~806	0.024	0.030	0.034
TRS (High)	851~867	0.008	0.025	0.018
Cellular	869~894	0.023	0.100	0.075
Wireless data	938~940	0.001	0.008	0.008
PCS	1840~1870	0.062	0.152	0.122
IMT-2000	2130~2170	0.054	0.151	0.120
S-DMB	2605~2655	0.021	0.071	0.051
WiBro	2300~2358.5	0.016	0.054	0.029

LBS: Location based Service, TRS: Trucked Radio System, PCS: Personnel Communication System, IMT-2000: International Mobile Telecommunication-2000, S-DMB: Satellite- Digital Multimedia Broadcasting, WiBro: Wireless Broadband Internet.




Figure III.3 – Possession rate for various services by population density

During measurement, the following was assumed: the spatial average of three heights: 1.1 m, 1.5 m, and 1.7 m; the number of basic measurement points: three (one dimension); and the number of precise measurements: nine (two dimension). In case of precise measurement the area is within 0.4 m (horizontal) by 0.6 m (vertical) and the points are placed 0.2 m apart at each height. In addition, to consider incident fields from any direction and polarization, an isotopic probe is used in the measurement system. Moreover, to separate each electromagnetic field source under the multi-frequency environment, a spectrum analyser connected to the probe is used for a narrowband measurement and the detection mode of the spectrum analyser is set to root mean square.

Appendix IV

Software Watt Guard

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

Within the framework of a study commissioned by the Bundesnetzagentur, a software module has been developed at Karlsruhe University enabling a simple and fast assessment of amateur stations. The software module runs on a wide variety of operating systems.

Features of the Watt Guard

The calculation tool has a graphic user interface at which the relevant data can be entered or the relevant parameters selected. The user enters the parameters frequency, antenna type and antenna gain, transmit power, his/her location, height of the antenna, antenna orientation and environment. The initial screen of the software is presented in Figure IV.1.

Account of the depth of the electromagnetic waves' penetration into the soil and of the reflections is taken by means of correction factors for soil conductance. The height dependence correction factor takes account of effects on the field strength from objects in the vicinity. The required safety distances and protection areas are derived from the fields. The safety factor compensates for exceeded field strength limits which may result from reflections at metallic or dielectric objects or at buildings, for instance. The various correction factors are already contained in the Watt Guard so that the radio amateur does not have to enter them.

When the required parameters have been entered, the process of calculating the necessary safety distances is started and displayed graphically.




Figure IV.1 – Initial screen of the software Watt Guard

Appendix V

Software "Uncertainty calculator"

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

V.1 Introduction

This software is developed to help in the calculation of the uncertainty.

V.2 Brief descriptions of the software

The software can calculate in three steps: Type A uncertainty calculation, Type B uncertainty calculation and expanded uncertainty calculation. The software references the method of calculating in [IEC 62232] Annex O.

In the main user interface (see Figure V.1), complete the following operations:

1. Select unit (strength or power density).
2. Call another interface to calculate Type A uncertainty, Type B uncertainty and expanded uncertainty.
3. Show results.
4. Export results.




Figure V.1 – Main user interface

- Step 1: Type A uncertainty calculation

Sometimes the probability distribution of an influence quantity can be determined by a simple repeated measure, n independent observations x_k will be obtained under the same conditions of RF field measurement. For convenience, the experimental standard deviation of the mean $S(\bar{x})$ is sometimes called a Type A standard uncertainty.

Press the Type_A_Uncertainty key in the main interface (the user can call up the type A uncertainty calculation interface).

In this window (Figure V.2), the user can complete the following operation:

1. Input the measurement data (the user can generate and fill a form or import Excel data).
2. Calculate Type A uncertainty.




Figure V.2 – Type A uncertainty

NOTE – If the measurement at each point appears only one time, the Type A uncertainty equals 0.

- Step 2: Type B uncertainty calculation

Type B evaluation is based on information as follows: associated with authoritative published quantity values; associated with the quantity value of certified reference material; obtained from a calibration certificate about drift; obtained from the accuracy class of a verified measuring instrument; or obtained from limits deduced through personal experience.

Press the Type_B_Uncertainty key in the main interface (the user can call the type B uncertainty calculation interface).

In this window (Fig. V.3), the user can complete the following operation:

1. Input the error sources and data. (The software supports three ways to calculate Type B uncertainty: default value, generate tables and import Excel.)
2. Select unit. (dB: uncertainty calculation with logarithmic values; %: uncertainty calculation with linear scale values.)
3. Calculate Type B uncertainty.




Figure V.3 – Type B uncertainty

- Step 3: Expanded uncertainty

The additional measure of uncertainty that meets the requirement of providing an interval is termed expanded uncertainty and is denoted by U . The expanded uncertainty U is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$ by a coverage factor k :

$$U = k u_c (y)$$

Usually (it frequently occurs in practice) it can be assumed that taking $k = 1.96$ produces an interval having a level of confidence of approximately 95%, so in the software $k = 1.96$ is used.

The final result will be shown in the main interface, which can be exported as an Excel file, see Figure V.4.




Figure V.4 – Expanded uncertainty


V.3 Examples

Example 1

Annex C2.1 in [b-EN 50413] is an example of a software operation to calculate the uncertainty that only contains Type B uncertainty. No repeated measurement is assumed here, which means five points are chosen and just one test is conducted for every point. The measurement results can be found in the following table.

Unit (V/m)	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3	Point 4	Point 5
value 1	0.6	1.3	3.1	2.5	1.9

Operating steps can be seen in Figure V.5, and the Type A uncertainty result in the main interface is shown, which is 0 dB and 0%.



(a) Input data


(b) Type A uncertainty results

Figure V.5 – Type A uncertainty, example 1

Type B uncertainty needs to be calculated next. The user needs to choose the unit "dB", because the uncertainty calculation in this example includes logarithmic values. The data are input into tables, shown below, which contain 13 influence factors, and an Excel file is generated.

Error source	Error source 1	Error source 2	Error source 3	Error source 4	Error source 5	Error source 6	Error source 7	Error source 8	Error source 9	Error source 10	Error source 11	Error source 12	Error source 13
Influence factor	Frequency response	Input attenuation	Resolution bandwidths	IF-amplifier	Temperature response	Modulation response	Display reading uncertainty	Calibration uncertainty	Cable attenuation frequency interpolation	Calibration uncertainty	Antenna factor frequency interpolation	Uncertainty caused by reflections	Limited repeatability
Uncertainty (dB)	0.50	0.10	0.05	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.05	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.80	2.30
divisor	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.73	1.73	1.73	2.00	1.73	2.00	1.73	1.41	2.00
sens. coeff.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
corr. factor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Operating steps can be seen in Figure V.6 and the Type B uncertainty result in the main interface is shown, which is 1.568 dB.



(a) Input data

(b) Type B uncertainty results

Figure V.6 – Type B uncertainty, example 1

In the last step the expanded uncertainty is obtained and the results can be seen in Figure V.7. The result with logarithmic values is 3.073 dB and the result with linear scale is 42.446%.




Figure V.7 – Expanded uncertainty, example 1

The results that are calculated by the software are basically in accordance with the results in Annex C2.1 of [b-EN 50413]. The reason of error is due to the number of decimal places.

Example 2

The example in Annex C2.2 of [b-EN 50413] also can be calculated similarly to Example 1. The calculation steps and assumption of Type A uncertainty are the same as in Example 1. However in the calculation step of Type B uncertainty, the user needs to choose the unit "%", because of the uncertainty calculation in this example with linear scale, see Figure V.8.




Figure V.8 – Type B uncertainty, example 2

Type B uncertainty is the result in the main interface, which is 20.394%. In the last step, the expanded uncertainty is calculated; the results can be seen in Figure V.9. The result with linear scale is 39.972%.

The screenshot shows a software window titled "Uncertainty calculation software". At the top, there are menu options "Edit" and "Help". Below that, there is a "Subject unit" section with two radio buttons: "V/m" (selected) and "V/m²". To the right is a logo for "美生 LAB" (Meisheng LAB) with the text "电磁环境实验室" (Electromagnetic Environment Laboratory). Below the logo are three tabs: "Type_A_Uncertainty", "Type_B_Uncertainty", and "Expanded_Uncertainty". The "Expanded_Uncertainty" tab is active, displaying a table of results.

unit (V/m)	measuring point1	measuring point2	measuring point3	measuring point4	measuring point5
measuring value	0.6	1.3	3.1	2.5	1.9
average	0.6	1.3	3.1	2.5	1.9
Type A uncertainty (k1)	0	0	0	0	0
Type B uncertainty (k2)	20.394	20.394	20.394	20.394	20.394
comb. cov. factor (k3)	0	0	0	0	0
Expanded uncertainty (k4)...	39.972	39.972	39.972	39.972	39.972

At the bottom of the table, there is an "ExportExcel" button.

Figure V.9 – Expanded uncertainty, example 2

The results calculated by the software are also basically in accordance with the results in Annex C2.2 of [b-EN 50413]. The reason of error is due to the number of decimal places.

Appendix VI

Examples for evaluating electromagnetic fields in general public environments with broadband radio signals

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

VI.1 Method for evaluating electromagnetic fields in general public environments with broadband signals

When using a spectrum analyser to measure the RF field power density, the resolution bandwidth (RBW) has quite a large effect on the measurement results, particularly for broadband signals such as WCDMA and OFDM. Therefore, it should be carefully selected to sufficiently cover the occupied bandwidth of the target radio source for an accurate field power density measurement. On the other hand, in current radio environments there are various types of radio signals that have different occupied bandwidths in a wide frequency range. Therefore, the RBW should not be sufficiently narrow and as a result, multiple signals are included in the RBW. It increases the uncertainty of the measurement. However, such broadband RBW setting can be applicable with proper consideration of the total power density.

Figure VI.1(a) shows an example of the measured frequency spectra of broadband or narrowband RBW settings. Suppose the received signals, $S(f_1)$ and $S(f_2)$, are narrowband signals and $S(f_3)$ and $S(f_4)$ are broadband signals. Figure VI.1(b) is a magnified section of the measured frequency spectrum around frequency f_i , which consists of a set of sampled points the number of which is $M(f_i)$. At frequency f_i , the received level, $S(f_i)$, is given by

$$S(f_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{M(f_i)} \frac{p(f_j)\Delta f_i}{f_{RBW}[M(f_i)-1]} \quad (\text{VI-1})$$

When the number of detected radio signals is N , the normalized total power density is calculated by

$$s_{total} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{S(f_i)}{S_{limit}(f_i)} \right) \quad (\text{VI-2})$$

where $S_{limit}(f_i)$ is the frequency dependent limit of the field power density and s_{total} is the total power density normalized by $S_{limit}(f_i)$.

For instance, a measurement system comprises an isotropic sleeve dipole sensor, an RF switch box, a spectrum analyser, and a laptop computer, as shown in Figure VI.2. The field sensor is based on a sleeve dipole, and the dipole elements have a periodical configuration to reach a wide frequency response in the frequency range from 30 MHz to 3 GHz. Three sleeve dipoles are orthogonally established on a tripod for isotropic response. The laptop computer controls the spectrum analyser and the RF switch box, and calculates the received level, $S(f_i)$, and s_{total} .




Figure VI.1 – Measured frequency spectrum




Figure VI.2 – Measurement system

VI.2 Effect of RBWs on measured electromagnetic fields from mobile phone base stations

In order to investigate if RF exposure from mobile phone base stations complies with the exposure limits, electromagnetic field measurements are carried out. Although frequency-selective measurements using a spectrum analyser do not provide as much information as signal analysers when measuring WCDMA signal, it is still the method of choice taking in to consideration the simplicity and cost. Resolution bandwidth (RBW) is one of the important parameters of the frequency-selective equipment and has influence on the cost of the equipment. Usually equipment with wide RBW, such as signal analysers, are more expensive. However, for wideband signals such as WCDMA, which has a signal bandwidth of 5 MHz, it is important to confirm if the use of equipment with narrow RBW can accurately measure these signals and be adopted in compliance testing. In this contribution, data obtained using Narda SRM-3000 operating in frequency analysis mode are provided. Indoor (i.e., inside an anechoic chamber) and *in situ* measurements for different RBW values were taken. When spatial averaged E-fields were considered, the maximum difference of less than 2.7 dB between values obtained using different RBWs was recorded. For the time averaged measurements, the maximum difference of less than 1.6 dB was obtained.

Measurements at 800 and 2000 MHz for indoor and *in situ* measurements were taken. For the case of the indoor measurements, a Narda SRM-3000 is connected to its isotropic probe and the base station simulator (MT8820A) is connected to a transmitting antenna (double ridged horn antenna EMC03115) through an amplifier. This configuration is shown in Figure VI.3. In the case of the *in situ* measurements, some results obtained in 2 mobile phone base stations are provided. Base station 1, for the 2000 MHz band, was in the urban area of Tokyo, while base station 2, which was on the outskirts of Tokyo, was chosen for the 800 MHz band. For each of these base stations, 3 locations were chosen for measurements. In this contribution, the 3 measurement locations for both base stations are referred to as location 1, 2 and 3. The distances between these locations and their base stations were between 240 and 270 m.





Figure VI.3 – Measurement configuration inside an anechoic chamber

Table VI.1 – Time averaged E-fields normalized to the 5 MHz value: *in situ* measurements were obtained at location 1 of each base station

RBW	$f = 882.5 \text{ MHz}$		$f = 2137.6 \text{ MHz}$	
	<i>Indoor</i>	<i>In situ</i>	<i>Indoor</i>	<i>In situ</i>
50 kHz	1.0	-0.1	0.3	-0.1
500 kHz	1.6	-0.0	1.1	-0.2
5 MHz	0	0	0	0

NOTE – Results are indicated in dB.

Table VI.1 lists the time average E-field strength. They are normalized to the average E-field obtained for the RBW of 5 MHz. In this case, the measurements were taken for 6 minutes and the average values were calculated from the measured data points obtained. Because the time between measurements was automatically set, the number of data points was different for each RBW. During this measurement, the antenna was positioned at the height of 1.5 m above the ground. For the *in situ* measurements, results from base station 1 are presented. The maximum difference of 1.6 dB is obtained in the indoor measurements at 800 MHz.



NOTE – For each location, the values are normalized to the spatial average E-field obtained for the RBW of 5 MHz.

Figure VI.4 – Height pattern of the measured E-fields at 800 MHz band

Figure VI.4 shows the height pattern of the E-field measured at base station 1. The E-fields were measured up to the height of 200 cm, at an interval of 10 cm for RBWs of 50, 500 kHz and 5 MHz. The difference in the spatial average E-fields obtained using the Japanese Notice No. 300 scheme, which uses 20 points, and 3 points average are summarized in Table VI.2. The difference is referenced to the values obtained with the RBW of 5 MHz. Although, for a given height, large differences in the measured E-fields for different RBWs of up to 6 dB was recorded (as shown in Figure VI.4) the difference in the spatial average E-fields obtained using different RBW is less than 2.7 dB.

Table VI.2 – Difference in spatial average E-fields referenced to the E-field obtained with RBW of 5 MHz for base stations 1 and 2. Results are indicated in dB

RBW	<i>f = 882.5 MHz</i>		<i>f = 2137.6 MHz</i>	
	<i>20 points</i>	<i>3 points</i>	<i>20 points</i>	<i>3 points</i>
50 kHz	0.9	2.2	-2.5	1.2
500 kHz	0.3	2.7	-0.9	2.5
5 MHz	0	0	0	0

(a) LOCATION 1

RBW	<i>f = 882.5 MHz</i>		<i>f = 2137.6 MHz</i>	
	<i>20 points</i>	<i>3 points</i>	<i>20 points</i>	<i>3 points</i>
50 kHz	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.1
500 kHz	1.6	1.4	0.9	0.1
5 MHz	0	0	0	0

(b) LOCATION 2

RBW	<i>f = 882.5 MHz</i>		<i>f = 2137.6 MHz</i>	
	<i>20 points</i>	<i>3 points</i>	<i>20 points</i>	<i>3 points</i>
50 kHz	-1.1	-0.6	-1.8	2.5
500 kHz	0.6	1.0	-0.7	0.8
5 MHz	0	0	0	0

(c) LOCATION 3

Appendix VII

Example of block diagram with possible activities during exposure assessment

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

Figure VII.1 presents the block diagram of the possible activities during exposure assessment.




Figure VII.1 – Block diagram of the exposure assessment procedure

Bibliography

- [b-EN 50383] EN 50383:2010, *Basic standard for the calculation and measurement of electromagnetic field strength and SAR related to human exposure from radio base stations and fixed terminal stations for wireless telecommunication systems (110 MHz - 40 GHz)*.
- [b-EN 50400] EN 50400:2006, *Basic standard to demonstrate the compliance of fixed equipment for radio transmission (110 MHz - 40 GHz) intended for use in wireless telecommunication networks with the basic restrictions or the reference levels related to general public exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, when put into service*.
- [b-EN 50413] EN 50413:2008, *Basic standard on measurement and calculation procedures for human exposure to electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields (0 Hz - 300 GHz)*.
- [b-EN 50492] EN 50492:2008, *Basic standard for the in-situ measurement of electromagnetic field strength related to human exposure in the vicinity of base stations*.
- [b-IEC 62311] IEC/EN 62311 (2007), *Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (0 Hz - 300 GHz)*.
- [b-IEC 62369-1] IEC 62369-1 (2008), *Evaluation of human exposure to electromagnetic fields from short range devices (SRDs) in various applications over the frequency range 0 GHz to 300 GHz – Part 1: Fields produced by devices used for electronic article surveillance, radio frequency identification and similar systems*.
- [b-IEC TR 62630] Technical Report IEC 62630 (2010), *Guidance for evaluating exposure from multiple EM sources*.
- [b-IEEE 802.15.1] IEEE 802.15.1-2005, *IEEE Standard for Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area networks - Specific requirements. Part 15.1: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)*.
- [b-WHO 193] WHO (2011), *Electromagnetic Fields and Public Health: Mobile Phones*, Fact sheet No. 193, Geneva, World Health Organization.

SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

Series A	Organization of the work of ITU-T
Series D	General tariff principles
Series E	Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors
Series F	Non-telephone telecommunication services
Series G	Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks
Series H	Audiovisual and multimedia systems
Series I	Integrated services digital network
Series J	Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals
Series K	Protection against interference
Series L	Construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
Series M	Telecommunication management, including TMN and network maintenance
Series N	Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits
Series O	Specifications of measuring equipment
Series P	Terminals and subjective and objective assessment methods
Series Q	Switching and signalling
Series R	Telegraph transmission
Series S	Telegraph services terminal equipment
Series T	Terminals for telematic services
Series U	Telegraph switching
Series V	Data communication over the telephone network
Series X	Data networks, open system communications and security
Series Y	Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects and next-generation networks
Series Z	Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems